

Rational design and synthesis of advanced metal-organic frameworks for electrocatalytic water splitting

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>SCIENCE CHINA Chemistry</i> |
| Manuscript ID | SCC-2022-1063.R1 |
| Manuscript Type: | Review |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 20-Oct-2022 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Tang, Yu-Jia Lan, Ya-Qian |
| Keywords: | metal-organic frameworks, water splitting, electrocatalysis, hydrogen evolution reactio, oxygen evolution reaction |
| Speciality: | Inorganic Chemistry |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

SCIENCE CHINA
Chemistry

• REVIEWS •

January 2016 Vol.59 No.1: 1–2

doi:

Rational design and synthesis of advanced metal–organic frameworks
for electrocatalytic water splittingYu-Jia Tang¹, Ya-Qian Lan^{2*}¹*School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Institute of Advanced Materials and Flexible Electronics (IAMFE), Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, 219 Ningliu Road, Nanjing, 210044, China*²*School of Chemistry, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, 510006, China*

As a promising and significant technology for hydrogen production, electrocatalytic water splitting is a research hotspot in the energy conversion field for alleviating the global energy crisis and achieving carbon neutrality goals. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have been widely investigated as catalyst candidates with remarkable activity and long-term electrocatalysis durability owing to their precise and tailorable structures: tunable pore channels in the range of micropore/mesopore promote the mass/electron transfer; high specific surface areas expose abundant active species; modified metal nodes can serve as active sites; versatile organic ligands can be functionalized to further enhance the electrocatalytic activity. In this review, recent advances in the rational design and synthesis of advanced MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting are presented in the following aspects. First, we analyze the design concepts of MOF electrocatalysts from the perspective of their distinct and modifiable structures. Second, three common synthesis techniques of MOF electrocatalysts are briefly introduced. Third, we focus on the classification of different MOFs for robust electrocatalytic water splitting, including pristine MOFs, mixed-metallic MOFs, MOF nanosheets, electrically conductive MOFs, MOFs on substrates, and polyoxometalate-based MOFs. Finally, summary and outlook are proposed to uncover the next generation of advanced MOFs for electrochemical energy conversion applications.

metal–organic frameworks, water splitting, electrocatalysis, hydrogen evolution reaction, oxygen evolution reaction, polyoxometalates

1 Introduction

With the growing global energy crisis and enormous carbon

*Corresponding authors (email: yqlan@m.scnu.edu.cn)


emissions, developing clean, sustainable, and renewable energy is concerned urgently [1,2]. Electrocatalytic water splitting has been considered as a potential technology for the conversion of electrical energy to hydrogen gas (H_2), which is a clean energy carrier to replace traditional fossil fuels for the benefit of our society in the near future [3–6]. Containing two half-reactions of hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER), electrocatalytic water splitting needs a standard potential of 1.23 V vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) due to its thermodynamics Gibbs free energy of $\sim 237.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ [7]. However, the reaction usually needs to be driven at an actual voltage much higher than 1.23 V due to the existence of large kinetic barriers [8]. Therefore, to reduce overpotentials (η) in HER and OER, a high-efficient electrocatalyst can be loaded on the surface of a conductive support to form adsorption intermediates, promoting charge transfer between them during the electrocatalysis process. Currently, commercial Pt/C is a benchmark catalyst for HER while IrO_2 and RuO_2 are utilized as efficient OER electrocatalysts [9,10]. Unfortunately, scarcity, high cost, and instability of the noble-metal-based electrocatalysts limit their wide industrial applications. At present, some research progress on non-noble-metal-based HER or OER electrocatalysts has been made, whereas problems of sluggish kinetics, large overpotentials, and short stability are widespread in these reported catalysts. Hence, developing electrocatalysts with low cost, high efficiency, and good durability for enhanced water splitting is still a significant challenge [11–16].

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), assembled by metal ions/clusters and organic ligands through coordination bonds, are a new class of porous crystalline materials with well-defined structures and multi-dimensional periodic lattices [17–20]. Since originally synthesized in the 1990s, MOFs have captured much attention by scientific researchers, exhibiting potential applications in various

fields, such as catalysis [21–23], gas adsorption and separation [24], sensing [25], drug delivery [26], proton conductivity [27]. Specifically, MOFs have been investigated in a key application area of electrocatalytic water splitting owing to their following distinct structural and compositional advantages. (i) Conspicuous porosity: owing to the adjustable length of organic ligands and various metal nodes, MOFs possess highly ordered micropores/mesopores and large surface areas, which can provide a high concentration of accessible active sites for accelerated electron transfer [28]. (ii) Various morphologies: MOFs with different morphologies can be prepared with specific raw materials by controlling their synthesis conditions. To date, various structures of MOFs have been reported to optimize their electrocatalytic activities, such as nanoparticles, nanowires, nanosheets, arrays, core-shell structures, and hollow structures [29]. (iii) Tunable metal nodes: transition metals of Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Mn, Mo, etc, are commonly selected to construct active metal nodes [30,31]. In most cases, multi-metallic MOFs, such as binary and ternary metallic MOFs, can be designed to further improve their electrocatalytic properties. (iv) Easy functional ligands: organic ligands can also be modified with some active functional groups (e.g., $-OH$, $-COOH$, $-NH_2$) to change their compositions or coordinate with metal ion species to form new active sites. Despite the above-mentioned advantages, most MOF crystals still suffer from low conductivity, poor structural stability, and unsatisfactory activity in the electrocatalysis fields. Therefore, the guidance of design concepts to avoid the above shortcomings and further optimize structures and compositions are needed to prepare high-efficient MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting, which is presented in detail in section 2.

Although many reviews about MOFs in electrocatalysis applications have been reported in the past few years [32–34], most of them mainly focus on discussions about

water splitting mechanisms, MOF classifications at different dimensions, MOF-derived materials, and different electrocatalytic reactions. Few reviews have analyzed MOF electrocatalysts from the perspective of design concepts of structures, compositions, morphologies, synthesis strategies, etc., which can provide practical guidance to prepare high-efficient MOFs for HER and OER. Considering the importance of the structure–property relationship of an electrocatalyst, an instructive review summarizing design concepts, synthesis techniques, and classifications of MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting is necessary to provide us insights and guidance for further investigations. In this review, recent progress of the rational design and synthesis of advanced MOFs for efficient electrocatalytic water splitting is provided (Scheme 1). First, design concepts of MOF electrocatalysts from the points of precise structure modulation, regulation of MOF dimensions, metal nodes/ligands modifications, and structural reconstruction are presented. Second, we demonstrate three representative synthesis methods of MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting. Then, six MOF electrocatalysts are divided to discuss their structures and electrocatalytic performances for HER and OER in detail. Finally, we summarize and analyze perspectives and challenges, providing specific guidelines to design robust MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting. We hope our review will offer scientific researchers available inspiration for studying in electrocatalysis applications.



Scheme 1. Illustration of design of advanced MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting.

2 Design concepts of MOF electrocatalysts

Owing to tunable porous structures, diverse topologies, large specific surface areas, active metal nodes, and functionalized organic linkers, MOFs show booming development in the field of electrocatalytic water splitting. However, most of the pristine MOF crystals possess unstable structures, low conductivities, and limited active sites, resulting in insufficient electrocatalytic performance in harsh media. In this section, we focus on the rational design concepts of MOFs as high-efficient electrocatalysts for water splitting from the perspective of their unique structural features.

2.1. Precise structure modulation

Compared with the traditional porous materials of active carbon and molecular sieve, MOFs can be rationally designed with special structures and well-defined porosity. On one hand, the precise structures of the as-synthesized MOF crystals can be determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, providing direct evidence for the

2

Zhang et al. Sci China Chem January (2016) Vol.59 No.1

structure–property relationships. For instance, Liao and Zhang et al. synthesized a hybrid $\text{Fe}_3\text{-Co}_2$ MOF that the open metal sites contained $\{\text{Fe}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\text{bdc})_3\}_4$ framework could bind to the $\text{Co}_2(\text{na})_4(\text{L}^T)_2$ cluster [35]. Due to its good chemical stability and unique Co coordination mode, $\text{Fe}_3\text{-Co}_2$ exhibited enhanced electrocatalytic activity for OER. On the other hand, the intrinsic and high porosity of MOFs ensures large specific surface areas to facilitate the electron transfer. Moreover, different active species (single atoms, quantum dots, polyoxometalates, nanoclusters, metal complexes, etc.) can be embedded within pores/cavities or bonded to MOFs, thereby changing their porosity, structures, and chemical stabilities [36–38]. These encapsulated species or newly formed structures are regarded as active sites or auxiliary materials to improve the electrocatalytic performance for water splitting. Peng's research group reported a series of nanohybrids with noble metal

nanoparticles anchored on Ni-MOF (M@Ni-MOF , $\text{M} = \text{Ru}$, Pd , Ir) using a spontaneous redox method (Figure 1a) [39]. The quantum-sized metal nanoparticles were combined with the Ni-MOF via metal–oxygen bonds, producing active interfacial structures to improve its HER activity dramatically.

It can be seen that the periodic arrangement of metal nodes and organic units in MOFs makes certain of maximum exposure of well-dispersed active sites on metal sites. Combined with physical characterizations and theoretical analysis, it is beneficial to analyze the reaction mechanism and verify the active sites of these crystalline structured MOFs. Therefore, MOFs with precise structures are desirable candidates, which can be regulated accurately for the construction of highly efficient electrocatalysts for water splitting.




Figure 1. (a) Schematic illustration of M@Ni-MOF nanosheets on NF as HER electrocatalysts. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [39], copyright 2021 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (b) SEM images of Ni-MOF and defect-rich Ni-MOF after alkali-etched treatment. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [44], copyright 2020 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (c) Structures and OER comparison of Co_4Mo , Co_4W , and MAF-6-Co. Reproduced with permission from Ref.

[47], copyright 2019 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (d) Structures of CoBDC and CoBDC FcCA. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [51], copyright 2022 American Chemical Society (color online). (e) Structure of $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{-MOF-74}$ and LSV curves of $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{-MOF-74}$ and control samples for OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [55]. Copyright 2020 Springer Nature.

2.2. Regulation of MOF dimensions

MOFs have a high flexible regulation ability of coordination structures, leading to their structural variety in different dimensions. Recently, many studies have found that designing MOFs with specific morphologies at nano-/micro-scales, including zero-dimension (0D), one-dimension (1D), two-dimension (2D), and three-dimension (3D), can increase their conductivities and stabilities [29,40]. In general, 0D MOFs are particles with micro-/nano-sizes. Especially, MOF nanoparticles in a range of tens to hundreds nanometers are suggested to be synthesized because they have larger surface areas and more exposed active sites compared to the micro-sized MOF particles. 1D MOFs demonstrate various morphologies of nanorods, nanowires, nanotubes, nanofibers, etc., possessing short charge transfer pathways and large surface areas. The electrospinning and template methods have been used to prepare 1D MOFs, which can't obtain pure MOFs due to the introduction of additives. Besides, it is still a challenge to control the synthesis of 1D MOFs with uniform and dispersed morphologies using a facile method. 3D MOFs possess abundant morphologies and hierarchical structures, which are beneficial to be optimized to improve the electrocatalytic activities. Notably, 3D MOFs with porous structures can expose active sites and accelerate charge transfer for electrocatalysis. Therefore, designing well-defined 3D MOFs with superior performance for water splitting deserves deep exploration.

Significantly, lowering structural dimensions to construct 2D MOFs is a brilliant choice to enhance their electrocatalytic activities [41,42]. The 2D MOFs have been widely reported as excellent electrocatalysts owing to the following advantages: (i) They have ultrathin nanosheet structures, which are in favour of the exposure of abundant

active sites; (ii) The 2D MOF nanosheets can effectively speed up the charge/mass transfer during the electrocatalysis process; (iii) The atomic structures in the 2D MOFs can be modified facilely for further investigation of their relationship between structure and activity.

For example, Zhao and coworkers described a universal strategy to prepare 2D NiFe-MOF nanosheet arrays on conductive supports, which possessed good activities for electrocatalytic water splitting due to the exposed metal sites, improved conductivity, and good hierarchical porosity [43]. Li's research group reported a Ni(II)-MOF nanosheet array ($\text{Ni}_2(\text{OH})_2(\text{BDC})$) with defected structures through an alkali-etched treatment [44]. The alkaline corrosion in the KOH medium led partial break of Ni–O bonds along with the import of K^+ into the framework of Ni-MOF, forming rough and expanded layer structures (Figure 1b). The as-prepared defect-rich Ni-MOF nanosheet arrays (D-Ni-MOF NSA) had abundant unsaturated Ni active sites and enhanced conductivity, achieving good electrocatalytic performance with an overpotential (η_{10}) value of 219 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm^{-2} for OER and a η_{10} value of 101 mV for HER. Therefore, MOFs can be flexibly controlled with desired dimensional structures, such as nanoparticles, nanowires, nanosheets, nanospheres, nanocubes, and polyhedrons. These nano-sized MOFs with specific structures are one of the hot spots as outstanding candidates for electrocatalytic water splitting.

2.3. Metal nodes/ligands modifications

It is well-known that structural modification is an effective strategy to modify metal nodes and organic ligands of MOFs at atomic levels. Two common methods of structural tuning of MOFs are as follows: i) The metal nodes can be functionalized to form open multi-metal sites by introducing other active metals; ii) The organic ligands can be modified

to bond to other active species or form defects [21,29]. Consequently, the metal nodes/organic ligands modifications of MOFs can modulate their compositions, pore sizes, electronic structures, and conductivities, providing abundant active sites for the enhancement of the intrinsic electrocatalytic activity for water splitting.

On one hand, doping specific or more kinds of metals with optimized mol ratios can obtain mixed-metal node centers with multiple valences. The multi-metal nodes are regarded as active sites to improve MOFs' electrocatalytic activity [45,46]. In 2019, Zhang's research group synthesized two non-3d metal modulated cobalt imidazolate frameworks of $\text{Co}_4(\text{MO})_4(\text{eim})_6$ ($\text{M} = \text{Mo}$ or W , $\text{Heim} = 2$ -ethylimidazole) with $\text{Co}(\text{eim})_3(\text{MO}_4)$ units and good water stability (Figure 1c) [47]. The introduction of the non-3d metals of Mo and W tailored the electronic structures of Co centers and increased the reactant/product affinity for electrocatalysis. The Mo -modulated MOF of Co_4Mo showed excellent OER performance with an overpotential of 210 mV at 1 mA cm^{-2} in the CO_2 -saturated 0.5 M KHCO_3 solution and an overpotential of 388 mV at 2 mA cm^{-2} in a phosphate buffer solution.

On the other hand, organic ligands modifications are able to regulate the electronic structures of MOFs. Introducing functional groups (e.g., $-\text{OH}$, $-\text{SO}_3$) in the terminal of ligands can effectively change the structural environments of metal ions [48,49]. In addition, partially substituted or missing linkers also can affect coordination environments, change electronic structures, and form defective ligand sites in MOFs [50,51]. For example, Wang's research group employed a dielectric barrier discharge plasma etching method to create coordinately unsaturated metal sites (CUMSs) with missing ligands in ZIF-67 [52]. The plasma-treated ZIF-67 had enhanced OER activity because the rich CUMSs were served as active sites. In 2022, He et al. reported an ultrathin CoBDC FcCA nanosheet catalyst, which had a bifunctional microreactor containing Co active

sites and ferrocene carboxylic acid (FcCA) ligands via the partial substitution of cobalt-terephthalic acid (CoBDC) to the FcCA ligands (Figure 1d) [51]. The coordination of Co active moieties and FcCA ligands forming the microreactor of tensile strain could optimize the Co spin state and enhance the formation of $\text{O}-\text{O}$ bond. The CoBDC FcCA nanosheets demonstrated a low η_{10} value of 280 mV and a small Tafel slope of 53 mV dec^{-1} for OER. Very recently, Gao et al. constructed an $\text{MCl}_2@ \text{Th-MOF}$ crystal ($\text{M} = \text{Cu}$, Co , Ni) containing an MN_2Cl_2 coordination geometry, which was strongly chelating coordinated by MCl_2 and bipyridine N in the 2,2'-bipyridine-5,5'-dicarboxylic acid (BPYDC) ligand [53]. Combined with the single-crystal X-ray diffraction and theoretical calculations, the as-prepared single-metal-site in $\text{MCl}_2@ \text{Th-MOF}$ showed an unusual semirigid structure due to the two rotatable charge-balance Cl^- anions. Remarkably, $\text{CoCl}_2@ \text{Th-MOF}$ demonstrated an enhanced OER performance in an acidic medium with a η_{10} value of 388 mV and a Tafel slope of 94 mV dec^{-1} , attributing to the robust Th-MOF support and strong coordination bond of $\text{Co}-\text{N}$ in the semirigid single-site. Still, these studies about ligands modifications in MOF electrocatalysts are less reported and need further investigation. Therefore, open metal nodes and organic ligands modifications not only increase the diversity of MOF structures but also are feasible routes to effectively boost their electrocatalytic performance for water splitting.

2.4. Structural reconstruction

The chemical stability of MOFs in acidic, neutral, and alkaline electrolytes is an important factor for electrocatalysis applications [54]. The evaluation criteria for MOF stability are their structural integrity ability in which some kinetic factors are considered, including linker rigidity, surface hydrophobicity, pore size, coordination number, metal valence, etc. However, in some cases for OER, MOFs are unstable and structural transformed partially or totally to new species, leading to changes in the coordination

environments. These unstable MOFs are recognized as “pre-catalysts”, which are *in situ* structural reconstructed to form real active intermediates (e.g., metal oxyhydroxides and oxides) when being electrolyzed in a harsh electrolyte [55,56]. Interestingly, intrinsic properties of precise structures, high porosities, and diverse compositions of these MOF pre-catalysts have an impact on their reconstruction process, thereby acquiring many active species on the surface and further enhancing their activity and durability [57,58].

Recently, scientific researchers focused on the structural transformation of MOF electrocatalysts through multiple *in situ* characterizations and theoretical calculations [59,60]. For instance, Tang and coworkers utilized $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{-MOF-74}$ as an OER electrocatalyst, which was structurally transformed to $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{OOH}_{0.75}$ at the Ni and Co nodes according to the operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) analysis (Figure 1e) [55]. The as-formed $\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{OOH}_{0.75}$ species involved abundant oxygen vacancies with unsaturated coordination and increased valence states of Co/Ni. In particular, $\text{Ni}_{0.9}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{-MOF-74}$ with an optimized Ni/Fe mol ratio delivered a low η_{10} value of 198 mV and a high turnover frequency (TOF) of $\sim 0.95 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at an overpotential of 0.3 V for OER. In 2022, Zhang et al. synthesized a trimetallic FeCoNiBTC MOF on Ni foam (NF) as the OER working electrode facilely [61]. The FeCoNiBTC pre-catalyst was phase transformed to $\text{FeCoNiO}_x(\text{OH})_y$ during the alkaline water oxidation process according to *in situ* Raman characterization results. Theoretical analysis indicated that the as-formed $\text{FeCoNiO}_x(\text{OH})_y$ species possessed real active metal sites with optimized electronic structures and appropriate energy barriers for the breaking of *OH and the formation of *OOH during OER.

It is worth mentioning that structural transformation between MOFs and layer double hydroxides (LDHs) is also a promising strategy to prepare high-efficient MOF

electrocatalysts for water splitting. On one hand, the morphology of MOFs can be well controlled using LDHs as precursors. LDHs are ultrathin layered nanosheets for the uniform epitaxial growth of 2D MOFs, which contain abundant exposed active sites for accelerated charge transfer [62]. On the other hand, some MOFs are unstable and can be served as direct templates, which transform to LDHs during the electrochemical oxidation process [63]. These MOF-derived LDH nanosheets usually exhibit excellent performance due to the existence of abundant coordinatively unsaturated active sites and defects when compared to the traditional LDHs with inert planes and aggregated morphologies. In addition, partial structural transformation of LDHs to MOFs and vice versa can prepare MOF/LDH composites [64,65]. When serving as electrocatalysts for water splitting, the MOF/LDH composites combine advantages from both MOFs and LDHs, including porous structures, good conductivities, dispersed nanosheet morphologies, and exposed active metal sites.

As we know, MOFs generally experience structural evolution during OER. The structure evolution of MOFs during the HER electrocatalysis process should also be paid attention to discover the real active species or structures. Unfortunately, scientific researchers don't consider it in most cases. Some MOFs show structural and compositional stabilities without changes during HER according to the after stability physical characterizations [66,67]. Moreover, some reports have investigated the structural evolution for HER in detail to verify the real active species. For example, Zhou et al. reported trimetallic $\text{Mn}_x\text{Fe}_y\text{Ni-MOF-74}$ films on NF as efficient HER and OER electrocatalysts [45]. The high-valent Mn and Fe species helped to stabilize the metal sites and modulate the electronic structures for enhanced electrocatalytic activities. According to the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis after HER, they found that Mn^{4+} was partially reduced to Mn^{3+} , increasing

the contents of Fe^{3+} and Mn^{3+} species. Therefore, after the HER stability test, the electronic structures of $\text{Mn}_x\text{Fe}_y\text{Ni-MOF-74}$ films were changed. Chen et al. proposed chiral macromolecular trimetallic MOFs with 6% lattice expansion on NF (LS-CMMOFs/NF) as efficient HER and OER electrocatalysts [68]. The morphology and structure of 6%LS-CMMOFs/NF demonstrated no obvious changes after the long-term HER stability test, according to the X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscope (SEM), and high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) results. However, XPS and *in situ* Raman characterizations suggested that the newly formed metal nitrides of Ni/Co-N were the active centers for HER. Therefore, some studies have found that valence states of metals in MOFs were changed for facilitated charge transfer, and new species were formed during HER. We think that scientific researchers should draw attention to the structural evolution of MOFs during HER.

In brief, the structural transformation of MOFs should be taken into consideration because the structural collapse is often happened to produce new active components. Meanwhile, combined with proper *in situ* and *ex situ* characterization technologies, we can identify the real active sites and analyze the transformation process for water splitting.

3 Synthesis techniques of MOFs for water splitting

Typically, different synthesis techniques have different advantages and disadvantages, which can affect MOFs' crystalline structures, porosities, particle sizes, yields, and further properties [69]. In the past decades, versatile synthesis methods have been developed to design and construct MOFs with active chemical components, controlled morphologies, and good conductivities for electrochemical applications, for example, hydro-/solvothermal method, exfoliation method, template

method, ultrasonic method, microwave-assisted method, mechanochemical method, electrochemical method, and depositing MOFs on substrates [70,71]. In this review, we briefly introduce three commonly used synthesis methods of MOFs in electrocatalytic water splitting applications.

3.1. Hydro-/solvothermal method

The hydro-/solvothermal method is a conventional strategy for the preparation of MOF crystals. It is carried out in a closed system with the utilization of high temperature, high pressure, specific molar ratio of starting materials, long reaction time, and solvents. MOFs prepared using this method usually have high porosities, good thermal and chemical stabilities but large crystal sizes and poor conductivities, which are not quite suitable for electrocatalysis. To reduce the particle sizes of MOFs, modulators (e.g., acetic acid and benzoic acid) can be introduced into the reaction system to regulate their morphologies. These small-sized MOFs, especially nano-MOFs, are potential candidates as high-performed electrocatalysts for HER and OER.

For instance, Chen et al. reported a series of isostructural MOFs by a solvothermal reaction [72]. These MOFs were assembled using V-shaped metal-oxygen clusters as metal nodes, which contained both open metal sites and coordination saturated metal sites. The precise modulation of Fe/Ni in the clusters could be determined by X-ray diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy, and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry analyses. The as-synthesized $\text{Ni}_{3(1-x)}\text{Fe}_{3x}\text{-MOF}$ showed good electrocatalytic performance for HER and OER owing to the precise structures with unsaturated metal sites.

3.2. Exfoliation of bulk MOFs

2D MOFs have a fundamental role in electrochemical applications due to their ultrathin morphologies, enhanced conductivities, and abundant exposed active sites [73–75]. Typically, two main methods have been developed to

prepare 2D MOF nanosheets: (i) bottom-up method, using a certain crystal synthesis method to directly assemble 2D MOFs from metal ions and organic ligands; (ii) top-down method, using an appropriate exfoliation strategy to obtain 2D nanosheets from bulk MOFs, including physical, chemical, and electrochemical exfoliations. For the bottom-up method, the surfactants (e.g., PVP, CTAB, and SDS) are usually used to control the nucleation and growth of 2D MOFs. However, the incomplete removal of these surfactants may lead to the blocking of active sites. Hence, the growth direction of 2D MOFs should be strictly controlled by this method. For example, Lang and coworkers prepared a series of bimetallic Ni-based MOF nanosheets (Ni-M-MOF NSs, M = Al, Mn, Zn, Co, and Cd) using a bottom-up solvothermal method [75]. The mixed solvents of *N,N*-dimethylacetamide and water could effectively control the growth of 2D MOF NSs with two or three layers. The ultrathin nanosheet morphology of Ni-Fe-MOF ensured well-exposure of active sites and acceleration of charge transfer, leading to its good electrocatalytic performance for OER. Furthermore, 2D MOFs prepared by the top-down methods, such as ball milling and ultrasonication, often suffer from fragmentary nanosheets and low exfoliation efficiency, resulting in a decrease in the electrocatalytic efficiency to some extent. This is because the relatively strong interactions within MOFs are van der Waals forces or hydrogen bonds in most cases. Since 2D MOFs have been widely served as high-efficient electrocatalysts, we should choose an appropriate and optimized synthesis route for the design of 2D MOFs with uniform thin nanosheet structures.

3.3. Depositing MOFs on substrates

Depositing MOFs on conductive substrates is one of the most efficient and novel strategies for the preparation of MOF electrocatalysts. In general, metal foams, metal foils, and carbon-based supports are selected as ideal and conductive substrates for the *in situ* homogeneous growth of

MOFs [76–78]. The two common growth conditions are hydrothermal and electrodeposition reactions under a relatively mild condition, obtaining nano-/micro-sized MOFs with various array structures on substrates, such as nanoparticles, nanorods, nanosheets, and other specific 3D architectures. Liu and Tse et al. synthesized 2D NiFe-MOF nanosheet arrays *in situ* on a NF substrate using a typical solvothermal method [79]. The NiFe-MOF nanosheets were assembled by nickel salts and 1,1'-ferrocenedicarboxylic acid, which were grown on the NF skeleton vertically and uniformly. Due to the vertical oriented 2D morphology, the NiFe-MOF/NF showed enhanced OER performance in an alkaline medium. Moreover, some MOFs (e.g., ZIF-67, HKUST-1, and MOF-74) grown on the substrates can take place on a short time scale at room temperature, which is regarded as an eco-friendly and high-efficient process. Therefore, depositing MOFs on substrates allows precise control of size, morphology, and loading mass, enabling rapid mass/electron transfer of the as-prepared MOF/substrates as direct working electrodes for electrocatalysis.

4 Classification of MOFs for water splitting

MOFs have been widely investigated as high-efficient water splitting electrocatalysts due to the multiple advantages mentioned above. In this part, we highlight recent advances of MOF electrocatalysts for HER and OER, which are classified into the following six types: pristine MOFs, mixed-metallic MOFs, MOF nanosheets, electrically conductive MOFs, MOFs on substrates, and polyoxometalate-based MOFs. The structure–activity relationships for the representative MOF electrocatalysts are discussed here emphatically. Their electrochemical water splitting performances of HER and OER are presented in Table 1 and 2, respectively, which contain critical electrocatalytic parameters of electrolyte, substrate, overpotential, Tafel slope, and stability.

4.1. Pristine MOFs

In the early years, pristine MOFs have been widely used in photocatalytic systems [80,81], while their electrocatalytic water splitting application is reporting in popularity in recent years. In this category, pristine MOFs served as water splitting electrocatalysts are crystalline particles or powders with determined structures but no further modifications of structures or components. These pristine MOFs need to be mixed with conductive agents and then loaded on glassy-carbon electrodes or other conductive supports for measurement due to their intrinsic poor conductivities and large particle sizes. For example, Li and coworkers reported two polymorphic Co (II) MOFs (CTGU-5 and -6) using different surfactants (Figure 2a) [82]. CTGU-5 showed a layered structure with water molecules coordinated with the Co site, while CTGU-6 featured a 3D framework in which H₂O was hydrogen-bonded to the MOF as the lattice molecule. Remarkably, CTGU-5 mixed with acetylene black of AB&CTGU-5 (4:1) exhibited good HER performance with an ultralow onset overpotential of 18 mV, a low η_{10} value of 44 mV, a Tafel slope of 45 mV dec⁻¹, a high exchange current density (j_0) value of 8.6×10^{-4} mA cm⁻², and long-term durability over 96 h in an acidic medium (Figure 2b). Computational studies revealed that the unpaired electron and empty E_g orbit of Co could trap proton and electron, thus promoting the HER activity significantly (Figure 2c). Bucci et al. described two imidazolate-based Co-MOFs possessing different peripheral groups of -Me for IFP-5 and -OMe for IFP-8 [83]. IFP-8 presented good electrocatalytic performance toward OER with a lower η_{10} value of 319 mV than that of IFP-5 and a nearly 100% Faradaic yield of O₂ due to the influence of the -R groups. Combining with XRD, attenuated total reflection (ATR), and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) characterizations, the frameworks were completely

degraded to form CoOOH species after the long-term stability experiment.

It can be seen that a pristine MOF crystal often bears restricted electrocatalytic activity for water splitting due to intrinsically poor active sites and low conductivities. Fortunately, combining different MOFs to form a hybrid MOF material is a feasible route to optimize the electrocatalytic behavior. For instance, Bu's research group proposed a straightforward sonication method to synthesize a uniform mixture of lamellar Ni-MOFs attached to the surface of the octahedral Fe-MOFs (Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs) (Figure 2d, e) [84]. The optimized Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs had a low η_{10} value of 275 mV and a Tafel slope of 56.7 mV dec⁻¹ for OER due to the synergistic effect between the two MOFs (Figure 2f). According to *ex situ* transmission electron microscope (TEM) and XRD characterizations, they found that the organic ligands in Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs were substituted by hydroxyl ions to form active NiFe-LDH sheets and rich defects on its surface during the electrocatalysis process (Figure 2g). In 2022, Kaner and coworkers introduced a layer-by-layer assemble strategy to prepare a trimetallic Fe-Co-Ni MOF on NF under a reductive electrodeposition condition (Figure 2h) [85]. The Fe-Co-Ni MOF had a unique tri-layer morphology because metal nodes in each layer were linked by the 2-amino-1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (BDC-NH₂) ligand. Notably, Fe-Co-Ni MOF exhibited superior activities with η_{10} values of 116 mV for HER and 254 mV for OER in an alkaline medium (Figure 2i). Theoretical calculations revealed that the excellent electrocatalytic performance of Fe-Co-Ni MOF was attributed to the strong H₂O adsorption on its metal nodes that led to the efficient activation of the O-H bond.

Zhu and Xu et al. reported a self-supporting MOF composite grown on a NiFe alloy foam support (NiFe-NFF) using a semisacrificial template method (Figure 3a) [86]. The NiFe foam was served as both Ni/Fe metal sources and

growth support. The NiFe-NFF composite showed an unique morphology that small-sized Fe-rich Fe(Ni)-MOF cluster particles were loaded on the surface of Ni-rich Ni(Fe)-MOF nanosheets uniformly (**Figure 3b**). The NiFe-NFF served as a working electrode revealed an impressive OER performance with a η_{10} value of 227 mV due to the synergistic effect between Fe and Ni and its modulated electronic structures (**Figure 3c**). Similarly, Rui et al. synthesized a Ni-MOF@Fe-MOF hybrid that Fe-MOF

nanoparticles were electrochemically deposited on the Ni-MOF nanosheets (**Figure 3d**) [87]. Ni-MOF@Fe-MOF exhibited enhanced electrocatalytic performance for OER with a η_{10} value of 265 mV and a Tafel slope of 82 mV dec^{-1} in 1 M KOH (**Figure 3e**). During the OER process, the NiO nanograins and mesopores were formed as the active species while the structure of the original MOF hybrid was damaged completely according to the TEM and Raman characterization results (**Figure 3f**).




Figure 2. (a) Schematic illustration of two polymorphic Co-MOFs prepared using different surfactants. (b) LSV curves and Tafel plots of AB&CTGU-5 and control samples for HER in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . (c) Possible HER reaction path on the surface of CTGU-5. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [82], copyright 2017 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (d) Schematic illustration of Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs as OER electrocatalyst. (e) SEM images of Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs. (f) LSV curves and Tafel plots of Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs and control samples for OER. (g) TEM images of Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs with different magnifications after OER stability test. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [84], copyright 2019 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (h) Schematic illustration of tri-layer Fe-Co-Ni MOF and cross-sectional SEM image. (i) LSV curves of Fe-Co-Ni MOF and control samples for HER and OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [85], copyright 2022 American Chemical Society (color online).

Therefore, the pristine MOF crystals have been directly utilized as electrocatalysts for HER and OER owing to their precise structures, large catalytic surface areas, and exposed active sites. The water splitting performances can be promoted by changing their crystalline structures or

hybridization. However, poor electrical conductivity, structural instability, as well as limited active sites of MOFs must hamper further enhancement of the electrocatalytic properties.




Figure 3. (a) Schematic illustration of the preparation of NiFe-NFF. (b) SEM images of NiFe-NFF. (c) LSV curves of NiFe-NFF and control samples for OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [86], copyright 2019 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (d) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of Ni-MOF@Fe-MOF. (e) LSV curves and Tafel plots of Ni-MOF@Fe-MOF and control samples for OER. (f) HRTEM image of Ni-MOF@Fe-MOF after the OER test. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [87], copyright 2018 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online).

4.2. Mixed-metallic MOFs

Metal doping for the preparation of mixed-metallic MOFs is a hot topic in electrocatalysis applications in recent years [88,89]. The common mixed-metallic MOFs can be binary and ternary metallic MOFs, which are synthesized using transition metals of Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Mn, Mo, W, V, etc. Some high entropy MOFs with five or more metal sources have also gained attention as water splitting electrocatalysts [90,91]. To date, quite a few studies about mixed-metallic

MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting, especially for OER, have been reported [92–94]. Encouragingly, the synergistic effects among the mixed metals with certain mol ratios can optimize the adsorption of intermediates and modulate the active metal sites. Additionally, multi-metals can efficiently regulate the electronic structures and coordination environments in MOFs, which are favorable to boost the electrocatalytic performance.




Figure 4. (a) 3D frameworks of **NNU-21~24** assembled by Fe_2M clusters and BPTC ligands. (b) LSV curves and corresponding Tafel plots of **NNU-21~24** and commercial IrO_2 for OER. (c) Free energy diagram of OER on Fe_2M clusters and its projected density of states. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [95], copyright 2018 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (d) Structures of $\text{A}_{2.7}\text{B-MOF-FeCo}_{1.6}$ with mixed metal nodes and linkers as OER electrocatalyst. (e) Number of 3d electrons in Co. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [96], copyright 2018 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (f) Schematic illustration of the preparation of CoNi-MOFNA. (g) Ni XANES spectra of CoNi-MOFNA obtained at different CV cycles. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [97], copyright 2020 Elsevier B.V (color online).

For instance, Lan's research group designed a series of stable bimetallic MOFs of $\text{Fe}_2\text{M}(\mu_3\text{-O})(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_6(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ (**NNU-21~24**) containing different Fe_2M ($\text{M} = \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{Ni}, \text{Zn}$) cluster nodes (**Figure 4a**) [95]. An iron atom in the Fe_3 nodes could be substituted by a second metal with a similar radius to form the soluble Fe_2M clusters that were favorable for the formation of the desired bimetallic MOFs. **NNU-23** assembled by the Fe_2Ni cluster and the biphenyl-3,4',5-tricarboxylic acid (BPTC) ligand showed the best electrocatalytic performance among all samples for OER, possessing a η_{10} value of 365 mV, a Tafel slope of 77.2 mV dec^{-1} , and a large TOF value of 0.030 s^{-1} at an overpotential of 400 mV in an alkaline solution (**Figure 4b**). Density functional theory (DFT) calculation revealed that the introduction of a second metal could make the d-band center of Fe_2M clusters closer to Fermi level than that of the Fe_3 cluster, thereby enabling stronger adsorption to reaction intermediates (**Figure 4c**). Xue et al. designed a bimetallic MOF of $\text{A}_{2.7}\text{B-MOF-FeCo}_{1.6}$, which was assembled by two metals of Fe/Co and mixed ligands of terephthalic acid (A) and 2-aminoterephthalic acid (B) (**Figure 4d**) [96]. The structure of $\text{A}_{2.7}\text{B-MOF-FeCo}_{1.6}$ was the same as the typical MIL-88B-Fe MOF, in which Fe^{3+} and terephthalic acid are partially replaced by Co^{2+} and 2-aminoterephthalic acid, respectively. $\text{A}_{2.7}\text{B-MOF-FeCo}_{1.6}$ demonstrated enhanced OER activity with a η_{10} value of 288 mV and a small Tafel slope of 39 mV dec^{-1} due to the optimized electronic structures by modifying its mixed metal nodes and organic linkers. The introduction of Co ions could increase many electrons in the 3d orbital, facilitating the formation of OOH intermediates to further promote its OER activity (**Figure 4e**).

Dong's research group prepared bimetallic MOF nanosheet arrays (CoNi-MOFNA) *in situ* on a CoNi alloy foam substrate using a self-dissociation-assembly method (**Figure 4f**) [97]. The CoNi foam directly provided bimetallic sources for the nucleation and growth of CoNi-MOFNA homogeneously. Remarkably, $\text{Co}_3\text{Ni}_1\text{-MOFNA}$ with an optimized Co/Ni mol ratio demonstrated excellent electrocatalytic activity for OER with a low η_{10} value of 215 mV and long-term stability over 300 h owing to the defected $\text{CoO}_5/\text{NiO}_5$ metal sites and electronic interactions. The time-dependent X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) studies found that the small-sized metal oxyhydroxide species were formed on the surface of the catalyst during the OER process (**Figure 4g**). In 2022, Fang et al. synthesized a bioinspired NiFe-gallate MOF (NiFe-GA) with hexagonal prism-shaped structures using $\text{Ni}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$ as metal sources and gallic acid (GA) as ligands [98]. They found that the bimetal nodes were active sites while Fe and GA could promote the formation of active oxygen species for OER. Zhu and coworkers reported a 3D cationic NiFe-azolate MOF (NiFe-btz) film grown on a NF substrate using a semisacrificial template method, which was assembled with azolate ligands and $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ layer as metal sources [99]. The as-prepared NiFe-btz/NF-OH was applied as the working electrode to achieve an enhanced OER performance with a low η_{10} value of 239 mV and a Tafel slope of 44.3 mV dec^{-1} due to its ionic structures and abundant active sites. According to DFT calculations, XPS, and *in situ* Raman investigations, the coupling effect between Ni and Fe ions could promote the charge transfer efficiently, and the formation of MOOH intermediates during OER ensured its electrocatalytic stability.

Compared to bimetallic MOF electrocatalysts, trimetallic MOFs are likely to possess more active metal sites and modulated electronic structures, demonstrating more potential as excellent catalysts for water splitting [100,101]. In 2021, Yue et al. reported a 2D trimetallic MOF (NiCoFe–NDA) as an efficient OER electrocatalyst [102]. NiCoFe–NDA was assembled by three 3d metals of Fe/Co/Ni and 2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylic acid (NDA) linker and grown on a NF support through a simple anion exchange method (Figure 5a). Verified by XPS and EXAFS characterizations, the trimetallic nodes in the NiCoFe–NDA MOF had a low coordination number. NiCoFe–NDA exhibited an ultralow η_{10} value of 215 mV, a small Tafel slope of 64.1 mV dec⁻¹, and long-term stability over 50 h in an alkaline solution (Figure 5b). The *in situ* Raman along with TEM and XRD characterizations suggested that the transformed oxyhydroxides were formed as the active sites during the OER electrocatalysis process. The metal oxyhydroxide species contained plenty of unsaturated coordinated environments, thereby offering multi-metallic synergistic effects to boost the OER performance. Zhang's research group reported a room temperature synthesis strategy to prepare a trimetallic NiCoFe-MOF with foam-like structures using three acetates as metal sources and 1,4-BDC as organic ligands (Figure 5c, d) [103]. The (Ni₂Co₁)_{0.925}Fe_{0.075}-MOF-NF with an optimized metal ratio possessed an extraordinary performance for OER in an alkaline medium, achieving a low η_{10} value of 257 mV and a Tafel slope of 41.3 mV dec⁻¹. According to physical characterizations, the trimetallic MOF nanofoams were

oxidation transformed to metal hydroxides/oxyhydroxides after stability measurement, which were identified as real active species. Han et al. reported a 3D trimetallic NiVFe-MOF on NF using a typical solvothermal method [104]. The NiVFe-MOF contained both nanowire and nanosheet morphologies that were favorable for the exposure of active sites and mass transfer for OER.

Doping rare earth metals into multi-metallic MOFs is also a feasible strategy to improve the electrocatalytic efficiency. Wang et al. proposed a one-pot solvothermal method to construct a trimetallic CoMnLa_x-MOF on Cu foam [105]. The introduction of La to CoMnLa_x-MOF could form heterojunction structures with flower-like/flower-bud morphologies, as proved by XRD, TEM, and XPS characterizations. CoMnLa_x-MOF with a La/Co/Mn mol ratio of 0.2/1/1 showed an enhanced OER performance, possessing a η_{10} value of 201 mV, a Tafel slope of 95 mV dec⁻¹, and long-term durability over 25 h. Such a heterojunction between CoMn-MOF and La-MOF could optimize the electronic structure and promote the electron/mass transfer during the electrocatalytic process. Recently, Jin and Zhang et al. reported trimetallic MOF nanosheet arrays on NF doped with two rare earth elements of Y and Ce (NiYCe-MOF/NF) via a hydrothermal synthesis method (Figure 5e) [106]. The co-doping of Y and Ce in NiYCe-MOF with a regulated mol ratio could modify the electronic structure and accelerate the electron transfer to the Ni sites effectively. NiYCe-MOF/NF showed superior electrocatalytic activities for HER, OER, and overall water splitting in an alkaline electrolyte (Figure 5f).




Figure 5. (a) Schematic illustration of the preparation and phase transformation of NiCoFe-NDA. (b) LSV curves of NiCoFe-NDA and control samples for OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [102]. Copyright 2021 Royal Society of Chemistry. (c) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of NiCoFe-MOF nanofoams at room temperature. (d) TEM images of (Ni₂Co₄)_{0.925}Fe_{0.075}-MOF-NF. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [103], copyright 2019 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (e) SEM image of NiYCe-MOF on NF. (f) LSV curves of NiYCe-MOF and control samples for OER, HER, and overall water splitting. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [106], copyright 2022 American Chemical Society (color online).

Consequently, introducing other metals to modulate and activate the pristine metal centers in mixed-metallic MOFs is a significant and promising strategy to improve the electrocatalytic performance for HER and OER.

4.3. MOF Nanosheets

In addition to the enhancement of electrocatalytic performance by adjusting the mixed metals in MOFs, morphology control has also been studied to improve their activities. Significantly, MOF nanosheets have emerged as prospective electrocatalysts because the ultrathin nanosheet morphology can expose considerable active metal sites, form coordinatively unsaturated sites, and increase electrical conductivities for desirable water splitting performance [42,107,108].

For example, Tang and coworkers synthesized NiCo bimetal-organic framework nanosheets (NiCo-UMOFNs) using a top-down method by ultrasonication of a MOF

colloidal suspension under an airtight condition [109]. Due to the existence of the coordinatively unsaturated metal sites and the synergistic effect between Ni and Co, NiCo-UMOFNs loaded on the glassy-carbon electrode showed improved electrocatalytic OER activity with a η_{10} value of 250 mV and a Tafel slope of 42 mV dec⁻¹ in 1 M KOH. Zhang's research group utilized an electrochemical exfoliation strategy to prepare 2D MOF nanosheets from a novel pillared-layer MOF (**Figure 6a**) [110]. The 3D pillared-layer MOF of (H₃O)₂[Co₆O(dhbdcc)₂(H₂dhbdcc)₂(EtOH)₄]·2EtOH (**3D-Co**) was hydrothermally synthesized using raw materials of Co(CH₃COO)₂ and 2,3-dihydroxy-1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (H₄dhbdcc) ligand (**Figure 6b**). When **3D-Co** grown on a Co film as a working electrode for OER, it was electrochemically transformed to ultrathin nanosheets (**2D-Co-NS**) with a thickness of ~2 nm. Remarkably, Fe-doped **2D-Co-NS** on NF oxidation transformed from

3D-Co@Ni before being immersed in the FeSO_4 solution demonstrated an ultralow η_{10} value of 211 mV, a small Tafel slope of 46 mV dec^{-1} , and long-term stability of 96 h for OER (**Figure 6c**). Zhu and coworkers described an LDHs-assisted strategy for the synthesis of 2D bimetallic NiFe MOF nanosheets (**NiFe-2D MOF NSs**) by coordination of BDC ligands and LDH precursors using a solvothermal approach [111]. The LDH precursor ensured the as-formed NiFe MOF have bimetallic species and abundant active sites. Moreover, the acidic BDC ligands could controllably transfer metal sources from LDH to MOF, making the as-formed NiFe MOF have 2D nanosheet structures. Remarkably, NiFe-2D MOF NSs loaded on a glassy-carbon electrode exhibited a η_{10} value of 260 mV and a Tafel slope of 56 mV dec^{-1} in 1 M KOH. The BDC ligands could provide surface COO^- functional groups, leading to fast proton transfer and OH intermediate adsorption during the OER test.

Introducing small molecules into MOF nanosheets can further optimize their electronic structures. Recently, Zhou and coworkers synthesized CoN_4 -based MOF monolayered nanosheets (thickness was $\sim 1 \text{ nm}$) embedded with ultrafine CoFeO_x nanoparticles (M-PCBN) through a facile ultrasonic method (**Figure 6d**) [112]. Aberration-corrected scanning TEM with high-angle annular dark field (HAADF-STEM) and XAS characterizations revealed that

plenty of active metal Co sites with higher valences and changed 3d electronic configurations were formed at the interface between CoFeO_x and MOF (**Figure 6e, f**). DFT calculations further proved that the interfacial CoO_2N_2 sites in M-PCBN ensured the superior OER performance. The as-prepared M-PCBN nanosheets loaded on carbon cloth (CC) achieved a remarkable electrocatalytic performance for OER, possessing a η_{10} value of 232 mV, a small Tafel slope of 32 mV dec^{-1} , as well as good stability over 60 h (**Figure 6g**). Lang and Niu et al. synthesized Ni-based MOF nanosheets with Fe^{3+} ions and BF_4^- anions interspersed between the layers (NiFe-MOF- BF_4^- NSs) [113]. The electronic structures could be tailored by the interaction between Ni/Fe ions and BF_4^- , thus optimizing the oxygen intermediates and lowering the free energy barrier to boost the OER activity. The obtained NiFe-MOF- BF_4^- -0.3 nanosheets showed outstanding OER electrocatalytic activity due to the $\text{M}\cdots\text{F}$ interactions, which achieved a η_{10} value of 237 mV and a Tafel slope of 41 mV dec^{-1} in an alkaline solution. Huang and coworkers described a 2D conductive MXene- CoBDC hybrid using $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ nanosheets as precursors for the decoration of CoBDC MOF on the surface [114]. The as-form active $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -MOF interfaces could facilitate charge transfer and electrolyte contact efficiently, thereby improving its electrocatalytic activity obviously.




Figure 6. (a) Schematic illustration of the exfoliation of a pillared-layer MOF. (b) 3D pillared-layer MOF structure of 3D-Co viewing along a-axis (left) and 3D packing structure of 2D-Co viewing along b-axis (right). (c) LSV curves and corresponding Tafel plots of Fe-doped 2D-Co-NS on NF and control samples for OER measured in 0.1 M KOH. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [110], copyright 2018 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (d) Schematic illustration of the exfoliation of M-PCBN. (e) XANES curves and EXAFS $k^2\chi(k)$ FT spectrum of M-PCBN. (f) HAADF-STEM image of M-PCBN. (g) LSV curves and corresponding Tafel plots of M-PCBN and control samples for OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [112], copyright 2020 American Chemical Society (color online).

As a result, the ultrathin nanosheet structures of MOF electrocatalysts contribute to their exposed metal sites and high electrocatalytic performance for water splitting in most cases. Moreover, the electronic structures of MOF nanosheets can be engineered by some imported small molecules for higher activity and durability.

4.4. Electrically conductive MOFs

It is well known that one of the major problems of MOF electrocatalysts is their poor electrical conducting property. This is because the pristine MOF crystals with low conductivities are synthesized using hard metal nodes and inert organic ligands in most cases. Recently, scientific

researchers have tried to improve MOFs' electrical conductivities for the facilitation of electron transport pathways although they can't be comparable to those of the typical nano-catalysts [115–118]. Importantly, assembling MOFs through π -conjugated coordination can increase conductivities, thereby making rapid charge transfer to boost their electrocatalytic performance for water splitting [119,120]. The high delocalized conjugated organic ligands, such as 2,3,6,7,10,11-hexaiminotriphenylene (HITP), are usually chosen to construct MOFs with good electrical conductivities for efficient electrocatalytic investigations [121].




Figure 7. (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of Cu-BHT with different morphologies. (b) LSV curves of Cu-BHT with nanocrystal and nanoparticle morphologies for HER in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [123], copyright 2009 American Chemical Society (color online). (c) Synthetic scheme of conductive M₂₃(M₁₃·HAHATN)₂ MOF. (d) Density of state plot of Ni₃(Ni₃·HAHATN)₂ by DFT calculations. (e) LSV curves of Ni₃(Ni₃·HAHATN)₂ and control samples for HER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [67], copyright 2020 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (f) Schematic illustration of the preparation of MPc-M'. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [125]. Copyright 2021 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Since the first conductive MOF of Cu[Cu(pdt)₂] was synthesized by Takaishi et al. in 2009, conductive MOFs have raised their rapid development in electrochemical catalysis [122]. For example, Huang et al. reported three copper (II) benzenehexathiol (Cu-BHT) coordination polymers with different morphologies including thin film, nanocrystal, and amorphous nanoparticle (Figure 7a) [123]. Cu-BHT with thin film morphologies showed a higher electrical conductivity of ~1580 S cm⁻¹ than these of nanocrystals (280 S cm⁻¹) and nanoparticles (48 S cm⁻¹). When served as electrocatalysts for HER at pH 0.0, the Cu-BHT amorphous nanoparticle demonstrated a lower η_{10}

value of 450 mV, a smaller Tafel slope of ~95 mV dec⁻¹, and good stability than other Cu-BHT MOFs with different morphologies (Figure 7b). Remarkably, the existence of “Cu-edge” site on the (100) surface was investigated as the exposed active site according to the ΔG_{H^*} energies calculated by DFT simulations. Zhang and colleagues utilized a conjugated ligand of hexaiminohexaazatrinaphthalene (HAHATN) to construct a new 2D bimetallic MOF (M₂₃(M₁₃·HAHATN)₂) [67]. The conductive M₂₃(M₁₃·HAHATN)₂ MOF had a full π -conjugated structure, which was assembled by two transition metals and conjugated organic ligands via M–N₄

linkages (**Figure 7c**). The unsaturated M–N₂ coordination moiety in M₂(M₁₃·HAHATN)₂ had better activity than that of the M–N₄ linkage, which was favorable for fast electron transfer during the electrocatalysis process. Additionally, the narrow bandgap and electrical conductivity of this conductive MOF were calculated to be 0.19 eV and 2 S cm^{−2}, respectively (**Figure 7d**). Combined with the above-mentioned advantages, the optimized Ni₃(Ni₃·HAHATN)₂ nanosheets possessed a η_{10} value of 115 mV and a Tafel slope of 45.6 mV dec^{−1} in an alkaline medium for HER (**Figure 7e**).

Du's research group synthesized a 2D π -conjugated MOF (NiPc-MOF) using 2,3,9,10,16,17,23,24-octa-amino-phthalocyaninato nickel (II) (NiPc-NH₂) as building blocks for the first time [124]. The NiPc-MOF thin film deposited on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) achieved enhanced electrocatalytic performance for OER with an onset potential of 1.48 V vs. RHE and a high TOF value of 2.5 s^{−1}. Similarly, in 2021, Li et al. reported a series of conductive MOF (MPc-M') with dual metal sites, which were synthesized using M-phthalocyanine as organic ligands and metal ions via square-planar M–O₄ linkages (**Figure 7f**) [125]. DFT calculations suggested that the NiPc-Ni' MOF had a narrow band-gap and both Ni–N₄ and Ni–O₄ active sites, suggesting its good electrical conductivity. The introduction of extra metals could optimize the d-band center of metal

sites to absorb the oxygen intermediates. Due to the synergistic interaction between Ni–N₄ and Ni–O₄ sites and tailored electronic structures, NiPc-Ni showed improved activity for OER with a η_{10} value of 319 mV and a Tafel slope of 83 mV dec^{−1} in an alkaline medium. Additionally, Wang and Fu et al. synthesized π -d conjugated conductive cobalt-hexaaminobenzene MOF nanosheets (Co-HAB-NSs), which showed good OER activity with a η_{10} value of 310 mV and a small Tafel slope of 56 mV dec^{−1} in 1 M KOH [126]. Shi et al. reported a series of conductive M₃(HHTP)₂ MOFs using three metals as nodes coordinated to the 2,3,6,7,10,11-hexahydroxytriphenylene (HHTP) ligands [127]. Remarkably, the as-prepared trimetallic MOF of FeCo_{0.6}Ni_{0.4}-CAT achieved a low η_{10} value of 277 mV and was transformed to the active oxyhydroxide species during the OER process.

Therefore, a well-designed conjugated structure in MOF electrocatalysts can improve electrical conductivity and facilitate electron transfer for water splitting. In addition, some feasible strategies, such as hybridization with conductive carbon materials [128] and pyrolysis of MOFs [129], have also been widely studied to enhance conductivities of the MOF-based electrocatalysts. Since our review is focused on the discussions of pristine MOF catalysts, the MOF-based composites and derivatives will not be included here.

4.5. MOFs on substrates




Figure 8. (a) Schematic illustration of structure variation of NiFe MOF on an ultraviolet treatment. (b) LSV and chronoamperometric curves of 4.3%-strained MOFs for OER measured in 0.1 M KOH. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [135]. Copyright 2019 Springer Nature. (c) Schematic illustration of the preparation of FeNi-MOFs on NF. (d) CV curves of FeNi-MOFs and control samples for OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [136], copyright 2021 American Chemical Society (color online). (e) Schematic illustration the synthesis of NH₂-MIL-88B(Fe₂Ni) MOF nanosheets on NF. (f) LSV curves of NFN-MOF/NF and control samples for OER and HER, respectively. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [66], copyright 2018 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online).

In situ growing MOFs on conductive substrates (e.g., carbon cloth, carbon fiber paper, Ni foam, Cu foam, Fe foam, Ti foil, etc.) is a practicable strategy to increase conductivity and improve electron transfer ability of MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting. Notably, MOFs on substrates can be served as self-supported working electrodes, demonstrating various array morphologies, such as nanosheets, nanowires, nanorods, and nanoflowers [130,131]. These MOF arrays/substrates possess accessible channels and pores, which are favorable for electrolyte immersion and mass/electron transfer. Furthermore, MOFs grown on substrates can avoid the use of Nafion binder that causes agglomeration of catalysts and hinders full exposure of the active sites in most cases. The intimate combination of MOFs and substrate can regulate electronic structures for facilitated charge transfer, thereby reducing the

electrochemical driving force and providing a low energy pathway efficiently. It is worth mentioning that MOFs grown on metal foam substrates can achieve high current density and long-term stability [132]. For instance, NF possesses 3D porous networks, high conductivity, low costs, and active Ni sources, which has been applied for the preparation of MOFs/NF electrodes largely [133,134].

Liu's research group synthesized lattice-strained NiFe MOF nanosheet arrays on a NF substrate using a hydrothermal method coupled with an ultraviolet-light treatment [135]. The π -conjugated naphthalene-based acid ligands were selectively dissociated by ultraviolet irradiation, reducing the interlayer interaction between organic and metal oxide layers and further leading to the enlarged interlayer spacing (Figure 8a). The NiFe MOF with a lattice expansion of 4.3% achieved superior

performance for OER than that of the pristine NiFe MOF, displaying a low overpotential of ~210 mV at a current density of 200 A cm⁻², a small Tafel slope of 68 mV dec⁻¹, and excellent durability over 200 h catalyzed at a high current density (**Figure 8b**). The operando synchrotron spectroscopies of XAS and SR-FTIR characterizations suggested that a key *OOH intermediate was observed on the Ni⁴⁺ active sites during the OER electrocatalysis process due to the strong Ni–O interaction in the lattice-strained MOFs.

Wang et al. reported bimetallic FeNi-MOFs nanoarrays in situ grown on NF using Fe²⁺/Ni²⁺ ions as metal nodes and thiophenedicarboxylate acid (H₂TDC) as organic ligands (**Figure 8c**) [136]. The FeNi-MOFs nanobelts exhibited stable structures immersed in 1 M KOH because the saturated oxygen atoms in the H₂TDC ligands were difficult to attack the OH⁻ ions. The increased valence of Fe³⁺ ions in the FeNi-MOFs induced a continuous enhancement of electrocatalytic performance for OER, leading to a low overpotential of 239 mV at 50 mA cm⁻², a Tafel slope of 52.4 mV dec⁻¹, and long-term durability over 1033 h operated at a high current density of 100 mA cm⁻² (**Figure 8d**). Theoretical calculations suggested that the strong Fe–O bond facilitated the proton/electron transfer and the high active Fe sites ensured the superior OER performance. Lu's research group reported amino-functionalized and water-stable NH₂-MIL-88B(Fe₂Ni) MOF nanosheets *in situ* grown on NF (NFN-MOF/NF) using 2-aminoterephthalic acid as linkers and Fe/Ni ions as metal sources (**Figure 8e**) [66]. The NF substrate was in favor of the oriented formation of 2D MOF nanosheets, thereby providing abundant exposed active sites. The M₃O metal cluster possessed coordinatively unsaturated metal centers due to the lack of water molecules while the –NH₂ in the ligands contributed to the enhancement of the electrocatalytic efficiency. The active {Fe₂Ni(μ₃-O)(COO)₆(H₂O)₃} cluster and synergistic effects between Fe and Ni as well as MOF

and NF enabled its excellent electrocatalytic performance and long-term stability in 1 M KOH, achieving low η_{10} values of 87 and 240 mV for HER and OER, respectively (**Figure 8f**).

In this respect, MOFs on the NF substrates as working electrodes acquire excellent activity and electrocatalytic stability in a harsh electrolyte. It is noteworthy that other metal foams and carbon-based substrates also have been served as popular conductive substrates for the growth of MOFs. For example, Gu's research group synthesized bimetallic Co/Ni(BDC)₂TED MOF thin film arrays in situ on Cu foam using a liquid-phase epitaxial layer-by-layer method. During the synthesis process, the Cu foam substrate was sequentially immersed in Co/Ni metal ions and mixed ligands of 1,4-BDC and triethylenediamine (TED) solutions (**Figure 9a**) [137]. The Co/Ni(BDC)₂TED MOF showed good orientation and adhesion on Cu foam, possessing many active sites for enhanced OER performance. The optimized Co/Ni(BDC)₂TED MOF nanosheets with Co/Ni mol ratio of 1:1 and 40 growth cycles showed a η_{10} value of 287 mV, a Tafel slope of 76.24 mV dec⁻¹, and good electrolysis stability for 25 h (**Figure 9b**). DFT calculation results revealed that the Ni and Co nodes exhibited high activity for the initial and electron transfer processes for OER, respectively, and combining them considerably reduces the kinetic barriers (**Figure 9c**). In 2022, Song et al. reported oxygen defected Fe-MOF nanosheet arrays on an iron foam substrate (O_v-Fe MOF/IF) by a plasma-engraving treatment [138]. The O_v-Fe MOF/IF demonstrated superior HER, OER, and overall water splitting performance in an alkaline medium due to its porous structures, unsaturated metal sites, and modified electronic states.

Wang et al. proposed a lattice-matching growth method to synthesize a conductive trimetallic MOF/layered double hydroxide nanotube arrays (cMOF/LDH) on a CC substrate (**Figure 9d**) [64]. The CoNiFe-LDH nanowires were formed on CC, which served as the interior template for further

growth of cMOF nanotubes via coordination bonds. cMOF/LDH exhibited lattice matched core-shell heterostructures to expose more active sites and promote charge transfer helpfully. The as-prepared cMOF/LDH heteronanotube on CC showed improved OER activity with a low overpotential of 216 mV at 50 mA cm⁻² and a Tafel slope of 34.1 mV dec⁻¹ for OER (**Figure 9e**). Han and Liu et al. proposed a general *in situ* transformation of layered double hydroxides precursor strategy to synthesize a series of bimetallic MOFs on three conductive substrates [62]. By changing metal sources and ligands, various bimetallic MOFs on NF, CC, and carbon fiber paper (CFP) could be

prepared separately, showing similar morphologies of ultrathin nanosheet arrays (**Figure 9f**). As a direct working electrode, the NiCo-BDC MOF on NF possessed a superior OER performance with a η_{10} value of 230 mV and a small Tafel slope of 61 mV dec⁻¹.

As shown in the above studies, *in situ* growth of MOFs on conductive substrates can not only improve the conductivity and activity for efficient HER and OER performances but also form regular nanoscale MOF arrays to accelerate the charge transfer and high exposure of active sites.




Figure 9. (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of Co/Ni(BDC)₂TED MOF on Cu foam. (b) LSV curves and corresponding Tafel plots of Co/Ni(BDC)₂TED MOF and control samples for OER. (c) DFT calculation results of Co/Ni(BDC)₂TED for OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [137]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry. (d) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of cMOF/LDH on CC. (e) LSV curves and corresponding Tafel plots of cMOF/LDH and control samples for OER. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [64], copyright 2021 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online). (f) SEM images of bimetallic MOF nanosheets on NF, CC, and CFP substrates, respectively. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [62], copyright 2019 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (color online).

4.6. Polyoxometalate-based MOFs

Although MOFs have many advantages for electrocatalysis applications, the unstable structures and insufficient metal active sites limit their significant performance enhancement. As molecular metal oxide anions, polyoxometalates (POMs) possess diverse topological structures, tailorable sizes, abundant surface oxygen atoms, and reversible electron transfer abilities, which are beneficial for electrochemical applications [139,140]. POMs, especially Mo and W-based POMs, can be immobilized in well-defined pores or coordinated with ligands of MOFs to form POM-based MOFs (POMOFs) [141]. In many instances, POMOFs demonstrate excellent electrocatalytic activities on account of their ultra-stable structures, uniform dispersion of POMs in MOF crystals, and abundant active sites from POMs [142–144].

In 2011, a POMOF of $(\text{TBA})_3[\text{PMo}^{\text{V}}_8\text{Mo}^{\text{VI}}_4\text{O}_{36}(\text{OH})_4\text{Zn}_4][\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{COO})_3]_{4/3} \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($\alpha(\text{trim})_{4/3}$) was reported as the HER electrocatalyst by Doldecq and coworkers [145]. This is the first report using MOFs as direct catalysts for electrocatalytic water splitting. The $\alpha(\text{trim})_{4/3}$ POMOF showed a 3D open-framework with Keggin POM units connected by trim linkers and filled with tetrabutylammonium (TBA) counterions in channels. The as-prepared $\alpha(\text{trim})_{4/3}$ /carbon paste electrode exhibited a high hydrogen evolution yield of >95% and a turnover number of 1.2×10^5 in an acid medium (pH = 1). However, the evaluation parameters for HER were incomplete, and no mechanism analysis was proposed.

Interestingly, Lan and Zhou et al. synthesized a new porous POMOF, $[\text{TBA}]_3[\epsilon\text{-PMo}^{\text{V}}_8\text{Mo}^{\text{VI}}_4\text{O}_{36}(\text{OH})_4\text{Zn}_4][\text{BTB}]_{4/3} \cdot x\text{Guest}$ (**NENU-500**), using a POM fragment of Zn- ϵ -Keggin-Cl as nodes connected to BTB³⁻ organic ligands (**Figure 10a**) [146]. Remarkably, **NENU-500** with a unique 3D open framework and **ctn** topology showed good structural stability and strong tolerance in both acidic and basic media (**Figure 10b**). Taking the advantages of redox activity of the POM fragment and porosity of the MOF framework, **NENU-500** exhibited excellent electrocatalytic activity for HER in an acidic electrolyte, possessing a low η_{10} value of 237 mV, a small Tafel slope of 96 mV dec⁻¹, and maintainable stability activity after 2000 CV cycles (**Figure 10c**). DFT calculations using a Zn- ϵ -Keggin-Cl fragment as a theoretical model found that μ_3 -bridging oxygen site had lower adsorption energy than other oxygen sites for H adsorption. Similarly, Wang et al. synthesized a ZnMo-POMOF crystal with nanoflower array morphologies on NF recently [147]. The ZnMo-POMOF with a formula of $[\epsilon\text{-PMo}^{\text{V}}_8\text{Mo}^{\text{VI}}_4\text{O}_{37}(\text{OH})_3\text{Zn}_4][\text{BPB}]_3$ was constructed using a Keggin-typed POM fragment of $\{\epsilon\text{-PMo}^{\text{V}}_8\text{Mo}^{\text{VI}}_4\text{O}_{37}\text{Zn}_4\}$ as building nodes connected to 1,4-bis(pyrid-4-yl)benzene (BPB) ligands. Due to the active Zn- ϵ -Keggin polymolybdate units and enhanced conductivity, ZnMo-POMOF on NF could be served as the working electrode for HER, possessing a η_{10} value of 118 mV and a Tafel slope of 66 mV dec⁻¹ in an acidic medium.




Figure 10. (a) **NENU-500** structures: connection mode between Zn-ε-Keggin and BTB³⁻ ligands, 3D connected framework, and two-fold interpenetrated structures. (b) XRD patterns of **NENU-500** immersed in solutions with different pH values from 1 to 12. (c) LSV curves and corresponding Tafel plots of **NENU-500** and control samples for HER in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [146], copyright 2015 American Chemical Society (color online). (d) Schematic illustration of POMs encapsulated within pores of the MONT framework. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [148], copyright 2018 American Chemical Society (color online). (e) Scheme of the proposed structure of SiW₁₁Co[h]@ZIF-67. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [149]. Copyright 2020 Royal Society of Chemistry.

In addition to being served as inorganic nodes, POMs could be confined within pores or cavities of MOFs to synthesize stable POMOF crystals or POM/MOF materials. In the work reported by Zhang et al., two isostructural POM-encapsulated metal-organic nanotube frameworks of [Cu^{II}₆(pzta)₆(bpy)₃(X₂W₁₈O₆₂)]·2H₂O (**HUST-200**, X = P and **HUST-201**, X = As) were synthesized successfully (**Figure 10d**) [148]. The metal-organic nanotube (MONT) hosts were constructed using Cu ions connected by mixed ligands of 5-(2-pyrazinyl)tetrazole (pzta) and 4,4'-bipyridine (bpy) while the POM cluster was the [X₂W₁₈O₆₂]⁶⁻ polyanion. The encapsulation of POMs in the pores of the MONTs could increase the chemical stability while their electrocatalytic activities for HER were unaffected. Accordingly, **HUST-200** loaded with 50 wt%

carbon black displayed a η_{10} value of 131 mV, a small Tafel slope of 51 mV dec⁻¹, and a high j_0 value of 0.53 mA cm⁻² for HER in an acidic medium. Besides, Abdelkader-Fernández and coworkers explored the encapsulation of Keggin-typed SiW₁₁Co or SiW₉Co₃ POMs into the cavities of ZIF-67 to prepare the POM@ZIF-67 composites with different POM loadings [149]. Due to their different solubilities in the reaction media, the SiW₁₁Co POMs had a higher loading in ZIF-67 than that of SiW₉Co₃, which were confined within the cages near the surface of ZIF-67 preferentially and homogeneously (**Figure 10e**). Especially, due to the abundant Co active sites, high POMs loading, and open defect-rich structures, SiW₉Co₃[h]@ZIF-67 showed superior electrocatalytic performance for OER in 0.1 M KOH, achieving a η_{10} value

of 420 mV, a Tafel slope of 93.9 mV dec⁻¹, and long-term stability over 12 h. In brief, combining POMs with MOFs

could prepare POMOF-based electrocatalysts with excellent structural stability and electrocatalytic activity.

Table 1 Summary of representative MOF electrocatalysts for HER.

| Sample ID | Electrolyte | Substrate | Overpotential | Tafel slope (mV dec ⁻¹) | Stability |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Ni-BTC[31] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | Carbon fiber paper | 53 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 62 | 12 h @ -0.25 V vs. RHE |
| [Co ₂ (3,3'-bpy)(3,5-bpp)(4,3'-bpy)](H ₂ O) ₃ [SiW ₁₂ O ₄₀][36] | 1 M KOH | NF ^a | 92 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 92.1 | 48 h @ -10 mA cm ⁻² |
| D-Ni-MOF NSA[44] | 1 M KOH | Ni foil | 101 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 50.9 | N/A ^f |
| Mn _{0.52} Fe _{0.71} Ni-MOF-74[45] | 1 M KOH | NF | 99 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 103.8 | 100 h @ -10 mA cm ⁻² |
| Fe ₂ Zn-MOF[46] | 0.1 M KOH | NF | 221 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 174 | N/A |
| NH ₂ -MIL-88B(Fe ₂ Ni) MOF[66] | 1 M KOH | NF | 87 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 35.2 | 30 h @ -500 mA cm ⁻² |
| Ni ₃ (Ni ₃ -HAHATN) ₂ [67] | 0.1 M KOH | RDE ^b | 115 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 45 | Maintaining at -10 mA cm ⁻² for 10 h |
| 6%LS-CMMOFs[68] | 1 M KOH | NF | 100 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 72 | 100 h @ -10 mA cm ⁻² |
| NiFe ₂ -MOF[72] | 0.1 M KOH | NF | 155 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 71 | N/A |
| MFN-MOFs(2:1)[76] | 1 M KOH | NF | 79 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 30.1 | N/A |
| HKUST-1 ED[77] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | CC ^c | 590 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 183.6 | 12 h at a fixed voltage |
| AB&CTGU-5 (1:4)[82] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | GCE ^d | 44 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 45 | 96 h @ -0.255 V vs. RHE |
| Fe-Co-Ni MOF[85] | 1 M KOH | NF | 116 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 56 | 48 h @ -0.1 V vs. RHE |
| Co/Cu-MOF[94] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 391 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 94 | 14 h @ -0.38 V vs. RHE |
| NiYCe-MOF[106] | 1 M KOH | NF | 136 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 74 | 100 h @ -50 mA cm ⁻² |
| NiFe-MOF array[117] | 1 M KOH | NF | 68 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 112 | 20 h @ -0.17 V vs. RHE |
| Cu-BHT[123] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | GCE | 450 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 95 | 20 h @ -0.7 V vs. SHE |
| O _v -Fe MOF[138] | 1 M KOH | Fe foam | 72 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 65 | 50 h @ -10 mA cm ⁻² |
| NENU-500[146] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | GCE | 237 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 96 | N/A |
| ZnMo-POMOF[147] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | NF | 180 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 66 | 3000 s at a certain potential |
| HUST-200[148] | Acidic medium | GCE | 88 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 51 | N/A |
| Co-MOF[150] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | GCE | 357 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 107 | 96 h @ -0.357 V vs. RHE |
| Cu[Ni(pdt) ₂][151] | pH 1.3 solution | RDE | 530 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 69.0 | 120 min @ -0.50 V vs. RHE |
| [TBA] ₃ [H ₄ PMo ₈ VMo ₄ VO ₄₀ Zn ₄](HTPT) ₂ [152] | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | CP ^e | 417 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 68 | 10 h @ -0.417 V vs. RHE |
| NiFe-MOF-74[153] | 1 M KOH | NF | 195 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 136 | 15 h at a certain potential |
| Mg ₂ V-MOF[154] | 1 M KOH | NF | 195 mV @ -10 mA cm ⁻² | 174 | 5000 s at a certain potential |

Note: a) NF means Ni foam, b) RDE means rotating disk glassy carbon electrode, c) CC means carbon cloth, d) GCE means glassy carbon electrode, e) CP means carbon paper, f) N/A means not available.

Table 2 Summary of representative MOF electrocatalysts for OER.

2

Meng *et al.* *Sci China Chem* January (2015) Vol.58 No.1

| Sample ID | Electrolyte | Substrate | Overpotential | Tafel slope (mV dec ⁻¹) | Stability |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Co ₄ Mo[47] | 0.1 M PBS ^a | GCE | 388 mV @ 2 mA cm ⁻² | 144 | 15 h @ 1.72 V vs. RHE |
| MAF-X27-OH[49] | 1 M KOH | Cu foil | 292 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 88 | 24 h @ 10 mA cm ⁻² |
| CoBDC-Fe[50] | 1 M KOH | NF | 178 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 51 | 80 h @ 100 mA cm ⁻² |
| CoCl ₂ @Th-BPYDC[53] | 0.1 M HClO ₄ | CP | 388 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 94 | 25 h @ 1.681 V vs. RHE |
| Ni _{0.9} Co _{0.1} -MOF-74[55] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 198 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | N/A | N/A |
| Ni ₁ Fe ₂ -MOF[72] | 0.1 M KOH | NF | 186 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 98 | N/A |
| IFP-8[83] | 1 M KOH | NF | 319 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 64 | 13 h @ 10 mA cm ⁻² |
| Fe-MOFs@Ni-MOFs[84] | 1 M KOH | CP | 275 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 56.7 | 100 h @ 1.52 V vs. RHE |
| Fe-Co-Ni MOF[85] | 1 M KOH | NF | 254 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 51.3 | 48 h @ 100 mA cm ⁻² |
| Co _{0.6} Fe _{0.4} -MOF-74[92] | 1 M KOH | RRDE ^b | 280 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 56 | 12 h @ 1.53 V vs. RHE |
| <i>c/a</i> -NiFe-MOF[93] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 236 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 30 | Maintaining at 23 mA cm ⁻² for 12 h |
| NNU-23[95] | 0.1 M KOH | CC | 365 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 77.2 | 15 h @ 1.595 V vs. RHE |
| A _{2.7} B-MOF-FeCo _{1.6} [96] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 288 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 39 | 10 h @ 10 mA cm ⁻² |
| NiFe-GA[98] | 1 M KOH | CP | 185 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 28.74 | 60 h @ 10 and 100 mA cm ⁻² |
| Fe/Ni _{2.4} /Co _{0.4} -MIL-53[100] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 236 mV @ 20 mA cm ⁻² | 53.5 | N/A |
| NiCoFe-NDA[102] | 1 M KOH | NF | 215 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 64.1 | 50 h @ 100 mA cm ⁻² |
| (Ni ₂ Co) _{0.925} Fe _{0.075} -MOF[103] | 1 M KOH | NF | 257 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 41.3 | 35 h @ 1.488 V vs. RHE |
| CoMnLa _{0.2} -MOF[105] | 1 M KOH | Cu foam | 201 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 95 | 25 h @ 0.55 V vs. Ag/AgCl |
| FeCo-MNS-1.0[107] | 0.1 M KOH | GCE | 298 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 21.6 | N/A |
| NiCo-UMOFNs[109] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 250 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 42 | 200 h @ 1.48 V vs. RHE |
| Fe:2D-Co-NS[110] | 0.1 M KOH | NF | 211 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 46 | 96 h @ 10 mA cm ⁻² |
| M-PCBN[112] | 1 M KOH | CC | 232 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 32 | 60 h @ 0.538 V vs. Hg/HgO |
| NiFe-MOF-BF ₄ ⁻ -0.3[113] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 237 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 41 | 14 h @ 10 mA cm ⁻² |
| [Co ₃ (HHTP) ₂] ₄ [116] | 0.1 M KOH | RDE | 470 mV @ 64.64 A mg ⁻¹ | 83 | 30000 s @ 1.7 V vs. RHE |
| NiPc-Ni[125] | 1 M KOH | GCE | 319 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 83 | N/A |
| 3D-Co/NiMOFs[132] | 0.1 M KOH | Fe foam | 264 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 54.3 | 4000 min @ 10 mA cm ⁻² |
| 4.3%-NiFe-MOF[136] | 0.1 M KOH | NF | ~210 mV @ 200 mA cm ⁻² | 68 | 200 h @ 200 mA cm ⁻² |
| FeNi MOFs[136] | 1 M KOH | NF | 239 mV @ 50 mA cm ⁻² | 52.4 | 1033 h @ 100 mA cm ⁻² |
| SiW ₉ Co ₃ [h]@ZIF-67[149] | 0.1 M KOH | RDE | 420 mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻² | 93.9 | 12 h @ 1.7 V vs. RHE |

Note: a) PBS means phosphate buffer solution; b) RRDE means rotating ring disk electrode.

5 Summary and outlooks

Electrocatalytic water splitting is a key and promising technology in energy storage and conversion applications. Designing and preparing low-cost, high-efficient, and robust electrocatalysts is crucial to meet the requirements of industrial manufacturing. MOFs have been regarded as a new generation of high-efficient electrocatalysts for HER and OER due to their precise structures, periodic pores, large surface areas, regulatable metal nodes, functionalized ligands, and various compositions. In this review, we summarize the rational design and synthesis of MOFs as outstanding electrocatalysts for water splitting. First, design concepts including precise structure modulation, regulation of MOF dimensions, metal nodes/ligands modifications, and structural reconstruction have been analyzed and highlighted to boost the electrocatalytic performance of MOFs. Second, the advantages and disadvantages of three common strategies for the synthesis of activated MOF electrocatalysts are introduced. Then, six representative MOF electrocatalysts are classified, and their unique structures and remarkable activities are discussed in detail. Notably, we have found that multi-metallic-based MOFs with 2D nanosheet structures demonstrate enhanced intrinsic electrocatalytic performance for HER or OER due to their good conductivities, adjustable multi-metal nodes as active sites, and ultrathin sheet morphologies.

Although significant progress of MOF electrocatalysts for water splitting has been made in recent years, low conductivities, poor structural stability, and insufficient active sites in most MOFs impede their intensive studies and further improvement in performance. There are some key issues and challenges that still need to be further explored:

i) Costs of MOFs should be taken into account because a low-cost and high-efficient MOF catalyst is more favorable for commercialization. Some vital factors including

nontoxic and abundant raw materials, facile synthetic routes, and high yields also need to be taken into consideration for the potential MOF candidates. To date, several MOFs have been produced in quantity as chemicals by different companies, such as MILs and ZIFs, but their commercial applications are still unavailable. Therefore, it is a key issue that we should design and synthesize MOF electrocatalysts with mass production, high activity, and low cost to meet the demands of industrial applications.

ii) The main disadvantage of poor electrical conductivity for most pristine MOFs limits their usage as direct electrocatalysts. The π -conjugated MOFs assembled with transition metal nodes and aromatic organic ligands have relatively high conductivity but a few reports as HER or OER electrocatalysts. To date, many strategies, for instance, pyrolyzing MOFs, growing MOFs *in situ* on conductive supports, and hybridizing MOFs with carbon-based materials or other conductive nanomaterials, have been proposed to improve the activities of MOF-based electrocatalysts. Nevertheless, it is not a universal strategy that works for most MOFs. Hence, designing MOFs with π -conjugated structures and ultrathin 2D structures or modifying their metal nodes/organic ligands show great potential for MOF electrocatalysts. Besides, one main difficulty of easy agglomeration of 2D MOFs should be noticed and solved to obtain highly dispersed 2D MOF nanosheets.

iii) For OER, the structural transformation of MOFs to real active species usually happens during the electrocatalysis process. In some cases, the structural reconstruction process of MOFs is instant, resulting in great difficulties in our studies of the catalytic reactions and mechanisms. Some advanced operando characterization techniques, such as attenuated total reflection infrared (ATR-IR) spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, XAS, and scanning probe microscopy, have been applied to gain a deep understanding of the structural transformation for OER. Therefore, it is of great

importance that further investigation of MOF electrocatalysts using these new and advanced operando techniques will be helpful to address scientific problems and provide guidance in electrocatalysis applications.

iv) In-depth studies of intrinsic and comprehensive reaction mechanisms of MOF electrocatalysts are urgently needed. It would be meaningful to understand the relationships between structures and properties. Combining advanced operando characterization techniques and theoretical calculations, we hope that intensive research will identify specific electrocatalysis processes and offer available guidance for the design of novel MOF-based electrocatalysts.

Although there are many challenges of MOF electrocatalysts in the electrochemical water splitting fields, the research works are significant and deserve detailed studies. All in all, we hope this review will help scientific researchers to acquire knowledge of recent developments in MOFs as excellent electrocatalysts toward HER and OER and provide guidance for the design of high-efficient and inexpensive MOF-based water splitting electrocatalysts to meet the “carbon neutrality” requirements.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22101137), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20210637), and the startup foundation for introducing talent of NUIST (1521622101008).

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

- 1 Turner JA. *Science*, 2004, 305: 972–974
- 2 Chu S, Majumdar A. *Nature*, 2012, 488: 294–303
- 3 Anantharaj S, Ede SR, Sakthikumar K, Karthick K, Mishra S, Kundu S. *ACS Catal*, 2016, 6: 8069–8097
- 4 You B, Sun Y. *Acc Chem Res*, 2018, 51: 1571–1580
- 5 Hu Y, Li F, Long Y, Yang H, Gao L, Long X, Hu H, Xu N, Jin J, Ma J. *J Mater Chem A*, 2018, 6: 10433–10440
- 6 Yuan F, Liu Y, Ma P, Wang S, Yang G, Qin J, Luo Y, Luo S, Ma J. *J Colloid Interf Sci*, 2020, 578: 668–676

- 7 Zeng Y, Zhao M, Huang Z, Zhu W, Zheng J, Jiang Q, Wang Z, Liang H. *Adv Energy Mater*, 2022, 12: 2201713
- 8 Hu C, Zhang L, Gong J. *Energy Environ Sci*, 2019, 12: 2620–2645
- 9 Kasian O, Grote JP, Geiger S, Cherevko S, Mayrhofer KJJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2018, 57: 2488–2491
- 10 Li Y, Sun Y, Qin Y, Zhang W, Wang L, Luo M, Yang H, Guo S. *Adv Energy Mater*, 2020, 10: 1903120
- 11 Wu ZP, Lu XF, Zang SQ, Lou XW. *Adv Funct Mater*, 2020, 30: 1910274
- 12 Li L, Wang P, Shao Q, Huang X. *Chem Soc Rev*, 2020, 49: 3072–3106
- 13 Jin M, Zhang X, Niu S, Wang Q, Huang R, Ling R, Huang J, Shi R, Amini A, Cheng C. *ACS Nano*, 2022, 16: 11577–11597
- 14 Yuan F, Wang S, Liang K, Yang G, Qin J, Gao J, Ma J. *Mol Catal*, 2021, 516: 112006
- 15 Chen M, Hu Y, Liang K, Zhao Z, Luo Y, Luo S, Ma J. *Nanoscale*, 2021, 13: 18763–18772
- 16 Wang S, Yuan F, Yang G, Luo S, Chen M, Fan T, Ma J. *Mol Catal*, 2022, 525: 112339
- 17 Furukawa H, Cordova KE, O’Keeffe M, Yaghi OM. *Science*, 2013, 341: 1230444
- 18 Zhou H, Kitagawa S. *Chem Soc Rev*, 2014, 43: 5415–5418
- 19 Bavykina A, Kolobov N, Khan IS, Bau JA, Ramirez A, Gascon J. *Chem Rev*, 2020, 120: 8468–8535
- 20 Shen Y, Pan T, Wang L, Ren Z, Zhang W, Huo F. *Adv Mater*, 2021, 33: 2007442
- 21 Dou S, Li X, Wang X. *ACS Mater Lett*, 2020, 2: 1251–1267
- 22 Dhakshinamoorthy A, Li Z, Garcia H. *Chem Soc Rev*, 2018, 47: 8134–8172
- 23 Gao J, Huang Q, Wu Y, Lan YQ, Chen B. *Adv Energy Sustainability Res*, 2021, 2: 2100033
- 24 Li J, Bhatt PM, Li J, Eddaoudi M, Liu Y. *Adv Mater*, 2020, 32: 2002563
- 25 Feng Y, Wang Y, Ying Y. *Coord Chem Rev*, 2021, 446: 214102
- 26 Lawson HD, Walton SP, Chan C. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2021, 13: 7004–7020
- 27 Li XM, Wang Y, Mu Y, Liu J, Zeng L, Lan YQ. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2022, 14: 9264–9271
- 28 Wang S, Hou Y, Lin S, Wang X. *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6: 9930–9934
- 29 Qiu T, Liang Z, Guo W, Tabassum H, Gao S, Zou R. *ACS Energy Lett*, 2020, 5: 520–532
- 30 Yin Z, Liang J, Zhang Z, Luo H, Zhou J. *J Colloid Interf Sci*, 2022, 623: 405–416
- 31 Zhang M, Hu D, Xu Z, Liu B, Boubeche M, Chen Z, Wang Y, Luo H, Yan K. *J Mater Sci Technol*, 2021, 72: 172–179
- 32 Wei YS, Zou L, Wang HF, Wang Y, Xu Q. *Adv Energy Mater*, 2022, 12: 2003970

- 33 Song XZ, Zhang N, Wang XF, Tan Z. *Mater Today Energy*, 2021, 19: 100597
- 34 Zhang K, Liang Z, Zou R. *Sci China Chem*, 2020, 63: 7–10
- 35 Shen JQ, Liao PQ, Zhou DD, He CT, Wu JX, Zhang WX, Zhang JP, Chen XM. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2017, 139: 1778–1781
- 36 Zhang Z, Gómez-García CJ, Wu Q, Xin J, Pang H, Ma H, Chai D, Li S, Zhao C. *Inorg Chem*, 2022, 61: 11830–11836
- 37 Noh H, Kung CW, Otake K-i, Peters AW, Li Z, Liao Y, Gong X, Farha OK, Hupp JT. *ACS Catal*, 2018, 8: 9848–9858
- 38 Wang M, Xu Y, Peng CK, Chen SY, Lin YG, Hu Z, Sun L, Ding S, Pao CW, Shao Q, Huang X. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2021, 143: 16512–16518
- 39 Deng L, Hu F, Ma M, Huang SC, Xiong Y, Chen HY, Li L, Peng S. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2021, 60: 22276–22282
- 40 Xiao X, Zou L, Pang H, Xu Q. *Chem Soc Rev*, 2020, 49: 301–331
- 41 Zhang W, Zhou K. *Small*, 2017, 13: 1700806
- 42 Zhu D, Qiao M, Liu J, Tao T, Guo C. *J Mater Chem A*, 2020, 8: 8143–8170
- 43 Duan J, Chen S, Zhao C. *Nat Commun*, 2017, 8: 15341
- 44 Zhou J, Dou Y, Wu XQ, Zhou A, Shu L, Li JR. *Small*, 2020, 16: 1906564
- 45 Zhou W, Xue Z, Liu Q, Li Y, Hu J, Li G. *ChemSusChem*, 2020, 13: 5647–5653
- 46 Gu M, Wang SC, Chen C, Xiong D, Yi FY. *Inorg Chem*, 2020, 59: 6078–6086
- 47 Xu YT, Ye ZM, Ye JW, Cao LM, Huang RK, Wu JX, Zhou DD, Zhang XF, He CT, Zhang JP, Chen XM. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2019, 58: 139–143
- 48 Feng K, Zhang D, Liu F, Li H, Xu J, Xia Y, Li Y, Lin H, Wang S, Shao M, Kang Z, Zhong J. *Adv Energy Mater*, 2020, 10: 2000184
- 49 Lu XF, Liao PQ, Wang JW, Wu JX, Chen XW, He CT, Zhang JP, Li GR, Chen XM. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2016, 138: 8336–8339
- 50 Xue Z, Liu K, Liu Q, Li Y, Li M, Su CY, Ogiwara N, Kobayashi H, Kitagawa H, Liu M, Li G. *Nat Commun*, 2019, 10: 5048
- 51 He F, Zhao Y, Yang X, Zheng S, Yang B, Li Z, Kuang Y, Zhang Q, Lei L, Qiu M, Dai L, Hou Y. *ACS Nano*, 2022, 16: 9523–9534
- 52 Tao L, Lin CY, Dou S, Feng S, Chen D, Liu D, Huo J, Xia Z, Wang S. *Nano Energy*, 2017, 41: 417–425
- 53 Gao Z, Lai Y, Gong L, Zhang L, Xi S, Sun J, Zhang L, Luo F. *ACS Catal*, 2022, 12: 9101–9113
- 54 Ding M, Cai X, Jiang HL. *Chem Sci*, 2019, 10: 10209–10230
- 55 Zhao S, Tan C, He CT, An P, Xie F, Jiang S, Zhu Y, Wu KH, Zhang B, Li H, Zhang J, Chen Y, Liu S, Dong J, Tang Z. *Nat Energy*, 2020, 5: 881–890
- 56 Jiang J, Huang L, Liu X, Ai L. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2017, 9: 7193–7201
- 57 Yang K, Jin Z, Zhang Q, Chen Q, Peng W, Li Y, Zhang F, Xia Q, Fan X. *Chem Commun*, 2022, 58: 1115–1118
- 58 Sun H, Chen L, Lian Y, Yang W, Lin L, Chen Y, Xu J, Wang D, Yang X, Rümmerli MH, Guo J, Zhong J, Deng Z, Jiao Y, Peng Y, Qiao S. *Adv Mater*, 2020, 32: 2006784
- 59 Gowdru SM, Lin CH, Chang CC, Chen YC, Kuo YL, Chang CC, Patil SB, Pao CW, Chen JL, Lee CR, Chang CK, Chuang YC, Sheu HS, Yang CI, Wang DY. *ACS Sustainable Chem Eng*, 2022, 10: 12297–12306
- 60 Zheng W, Liu M, Lee LYS. *ACS Catal*, 2020, 10: 81–92
- 61 Zhang K, Zhu Y, Yue K, Zhan K, Wang P, Kong Y, Yan Y, Wang X. *Nano Res*, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12274-022-4701-z>
- 62 Wang B, Shang J, Guo C, Zhang J, Zhu F, Han A, Liu J. *Small*, 2019, 15: 1804761
- 63 Wang B, Han X, Guo C, Jing J, Yang C, Li Y, Han A, Wang D, Liu J. *Appl Catal B-Environ*, 2021, 298: 120580
- 64 Wang Y, Yan L, Dastafkan K, Zhao C, Zhao X, Xue Y, Huo J, Li S, Zhai Q. *Adv Mater*, 2021, 33: 2006351
- 65 Huo J, Wang Y, Yan L, Xue Y, Li S, Hu M, Jiang Y, Zhai QG. *Nanoscale*, 2020, 12: 14514–14523
- 66 Senthil Raja D, Chuah X-F, Lu S-Y. *Adv Energy Mater*, 2018, 8: 1801065
- 67 Huang H, Zhao Y, Bai Y, Li F, Zhang Y, Chen Y. *Adv Sci*, 2020, 7: 2000012
- 68 Chen Y, Wang J, Yu Z, Hou Y, Jiang R, Wang M, Huang J, Chen J, Zhang Y, Zhu H. *Appl Catal B-Environ*, 2022, 307: 121151
- 69 Stock N, Biswas S. *Chem Rev*, 2012, 112: 933–969
- 70 Feng L, Wang KY, Powell J, Zhou HC. *Matter*, 2019, 1: 801–824
- 71 Cai X, Xie Z, Li D, Kassymova M, Zang SQ, Jiang HL. *Coord Chem Rev*, 2020, 417: 213366
- 72 Chen X, Shao B, Tang MJ, He XL, Yang FJ, Guo ZP, Zhang Z, He CT, Huang FP, Huang J. *J Mater Chem A*, 2021, 9: 14682–14690
- 73 Liu YL, Liu XY, Feng L, Shao LX, Li SJ, Tang J, Cheng H, Chen Z, Huang R, Xu HC, Zhuang JL. *ChemSusChem*, 2022, 15: e202102603
- 74 Cai M, Liu Q, Xue Z, Li Y, Fan Y, Huang A, Li MR, Croft M, Tyson TA, Ke Z, Li G. *J Mater Chem A*, 2020, 8: 190–195
- 75 Li FL, Wang P, Huang X, Young DJ, Wang HF, Braunstein P, Lang JP. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2019, 58: 7051–7056
- 76 Senthil Raja D, Lin HW, Lu SY. *Nano Energy*, 2019, 57: 1–13
- 77 Li XF, Lu MY, Yu HY, Zhang TH, Liu J, Tian JH, Yang R. *ChemElectroChem*, 2019, 6: 4507–4510
- 78 Wang L, Wu Y, Cao R, Ren L, Chen M, Feng X, Zhou J, Wang B. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2016, 8: 16736–16743
- 79 Liang J, Gao X, Guo B, Ding Y, Yan J, Guo Z, Tse ECM, Liu J. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2021, 60: 12770–12774
- 80 Liu S, Zhang C, Sun Y, Chen Q, He L, Zhang K, Zhang J, Liu B, Chen LF. *Coord Chem Rev*, 2020, 413: 213266
- 81 Wang S, Wang X. *Small*, 2015, 11: 3097–3112

- 82 Wu YP, Zhou W, Zhao J, Dong WW, Lan YQ, Li DS, Sun C, Bu X. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2017, 56: 13001–13005
- 83 Bucci A, Mondal SS, Martin-Diaconescu V, Shafir A, Lloret-Fillol J. *ACS Appl Energy Mater*, 2019, 2: 8930–8938
- 84 Liu M, Kong L, Wang X, He J, Bu XH. *Small*, 2019, 15: 1903410
- 85 Shahbazi Farahani F, Rahmanifar MS, Noori A, El-Kady MF, Hassani N, Neek-Amal M, Kaner RB, Mousavi MF. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2022, 144: 3411–3428
- 86 Cao C, Ma DD, Xu Q, Wu XT, Zhu QL. *Adv Funct Mater*, 2019, 29: 1807418
- 87 Rui K, Zhao G, Chen Y, Lin Y, Zhou Q, Chen J, Zhu J, Sun W, Huang W, Dou SX. *Adv Funct Mater*, 2018, 28: 1801554
- 88 Li S, Gao Y, Li N, Ge L, Bu X, Feng P. *Energy Environ Sci*, 2021, 14: 1897–1927
- 89 Luo X, Abazari R, Tahir M, Fan WK, Kumar A, Kalhorizadeh T, Kirillov AM, Amani-Ghadim AR, Chen J, Zhou Y. *Coord Chem Rev*, 2022, 461: 214505
- 90 Zhao X, Xue Z, Chen W, Bai X, Shi R, Mu T. *J Mater Chem A*, 2019, 7: 26238–26242
- 91 Xu S, Li M, Wang H, Sun Y, Liu W, Duan J, Chen S. *J Phys Chem C*, 2022, 126: 14094–14102
- 92 Zhao X, Pattengale B, Fan D, Zou Z, Zhao Y, Du J, Huang J, Xu C. *ACS Energy Lett*, 2018, 3: 2520–2526
- 93 Li Y, Ma W, Yang H, Tian Q, Xu Q, Han B. *Chem Commun*, 2022, 58: 6833–6836
- 94 Qiu Q, Wang T, Jing L, Huang K, Qin D. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*, 2020, 45: 11077–11088
- 95 Wang XL, Dong LZ, Qiao M, Tang YJ, Liu J, Li Y, Li SL, Su JX, Lan YQ. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2018, 57: 9660–9664
- 96 Xue Z, Li Y, Zhang Y, Geng W, Jia B, Tang J, Bao S, Wang HP, Fan Y, Wei Z-w, Zhang Z, Ke Z, Li G, Su CY. *Adv Energy Mater*, 2018, 8: 1801564
- 97 Huang L, Gao G, Zhang H, Chen J, Fang Y, Dong S. *Nano Energy*, 2020, 68: 104296
- 98 Fang M, Gao X, Liang J, Guo B, Zou L, Lu J, Gao Y, Tse ECM, Liu J. *J Mater Chem A*, 2022, 10: 7013–7019
- 99 Li S, Wang T, Tang D, Yang Y, Tian Y, Cui F, Sun J, Jing X, Sholl DS, Zhu G. *Adv Sci*, 2022, 2203712
- 100 Li FL, Shao Q, Huang X, Lang JP. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2018, 57: 1888–1892
- 101 Li Z, Deng S, Yu H, Yin Z, Qi S, Yang L, Lv J, Sun Z, Zhang M. *J Mater Chem A*, 2022, 10: 4230–4241
- 102 Yue K, Liu J, Zhu Y, Xia C, Wang P, Zhang J, Kong Y, Wang X, Yan Y, Xia BY. *Energy Environ Sci*, 2021, 14: 6546–6553
- 103 Qian Q, Li Y, Liu Y, Yu L, Zhang G. *Adv Mater*, 2019, 31: 1901139
- 104 Han L, Xu J, Zhu X, Yang F, Jia X. *Mater Today Energy*, 2020, 16: 100419
- 105 Wang Y, Wang B, Liu X, Wang Y, Wang Y, Liu Z. *ACS Appl Energy Mater*, 2022, 5: 8686–8696
- 106 Li F, Jiang M, Lai C, Xu H, Zhang K, Jin Z. *Nano Lett*, 2022, 22: 7238–7245
- 107 Zhuang L, Ge L, Liu H, Jiang Z, Jia Y, Li Z, Yang D, Hocking RK, Li M, Zhang L, Wang X, Yao X, Zhu Z. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2019, 58: 13565–13572
- 108 Dhakshinamoorthy A, Asiri AM, Garcia H. *Adv Mater*, 2019, 31: 1900617
- 109 Zhao S, Wang Y, Dong J, He CT, Yin H, An P, Zhao K, Zhang X, Gao C, Zhang L, Lv J, Wang J, Zhang J, Khattak AM, Khan NA, Wei Z, Zhang J, Liu S, Zhao H, Tang Z. *Nat Energy*, 2016, 1: 16184
- 110 Huang J, Li Y, Huang RK, He CT, Gong L, Hu Q, Wang L, Xu YT, Tian XY, Liu SY, Ye ZM, Wang F, Zhou DD, Zhang WX, Zhang JP. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2018, 57: 4632–4636
- 111 Liu Y, Li X, Sun Q, Wang Z, Huang WH, Guo X, Fan Z, Ye R, Zhu Y, Chueh CC, Chen CL, Zhu Z. *Small*, 2022, 18: 2201076
- 112 Zhang W, Wang Y, Zheng H, Li R, Tang Y, Li B, Zhu C, You L, Gao MR, Liu Z, Yu SH, Zhou K. *ACS Nano*, 2020, 14: 1971–1981
- 113 Zhao ZY, Sun X, Gu H, Niu Z, Braunstein P, Lang JP. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2022, 14: 15133–15140
- 114 Zhao L, Dong B, Li S, Zhou L, Lai L, Wang Z, Zhao S, Han M, Gao K, Lu M, Xie X, Chen B, Liu Z, Wang X, Zhang H, Li H, Liu J, Zhang H, Huang X, Huang W. *ACS Nano*, 2017, 11: 5800–5807
- 115 Zhu B, Wen D, Liang Z, Zou R. *Coord Chem Rev*, 2021, 446: 214119
- 116 Zhang M, Zheng BH, Xu J, Pan N, Yu J, Chen M, Cao H. *Chem Commun*, 2018, 54: 13579–13582
- 117 Sun Y, Ding S, Xu S, Duan J, Chen S. *J Power Sources*, 2021, 494: 229733
- 118 Lin L, Zhang Q, Ni Y, Shang L, Zhang X, Yan Z, Zhao Q, Chen J. *Chem*, 2022, 8: 1822–1854
- 119 Zhong H, Wang M, Chen G, Dong R, Feng X. *ACS Nano*, 2022, 16: 1759–1780
- 120 Li C, Zhang L, Chen J, Li X, Sun J, Zhu J, Wang X, Fu Y. *Nanoscale*, 2021, 13: 485–509
- 121 Miner EM, Gul S, Ricke ND, Pastor E, Yano J, Yachandra VK, Van Voorhis T, Dincă M. *ACS Catal*, 2017, 7: 7726–7731
- 122 Takaishi S, Hosoda M, Kajiwarra T, Miyasaka H, Yamashita M, Nakanishi Y, Kitagawa Y, Yamaguchi K, Kobayashi A, Kitagawa H. *Inorg Chem*, 2009, 48: 9048–9050
- 123 Huang X, Yao H, Cui Y, Hao W, Zhu J, Xu W, Zhu D. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2017, 9: 40752–40759
- 124 Jia H, Yao Y, Zhao J, Gao Y, Luo Z, Du P. *J Mater Chem A*, 2018, 6: 1188–1195
- 125 Li J, Liu P, Mao J, Yan J, Song W. *J Mater Chem A*, 2021, 9: 1623–1629

- 126 Li C, Shi L, Zhang L, Chen P, Zhu J, Wang X, Fu Y. *J Mater Chem A*, 2020, 8: 369–379
- 127 Shi X, Hua R, Xu Y, Liu T, Lu G. *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2020, 4: 4589–4597
- 128 Yu S, Wu Y, Xue Q, Zhu JJ, Zhou Y. *J Mater Chem A*, 2022, 10: 4936–4943
- 129 Tang YJ, Chen Y, Zhu HJ, Zhang AM, Wang XL, Dong LZ, Li SL, Xu Q, Lan YQ. *J Mater Chem A*, 2018, 6: 21969–21977
- 130 Cai G, Zhang W, Jiao L, Yu SH, Jiang HL. *Chem*, 2017, 2: 791–802
- 131 Tang YJ, Zheng H, Wang Y, Zhang W, Zhou K. *Adv Funct Mater*, 2021, 31: 2102648
- 132 Luo P, Li S, Zhao Y, Ye G, Wei C, Hu Y, Wei C. *ChemCatChem*, 2019, 11: 6061–6069
- 133 Sun F, Wang G, Ding Y, Wang C, Yuan B, Lin Y. *Adv Energy Mater*, 2018, 8: 1800584
- 134 Meng C, Cao Y, Luo Y, Zhang F, Kong Q, Alshehri AA, Alzahrani KA, Li T, Liu Q, Sun X. *Inorg Chem Front*, 2021, 8: 3007–3011
- 135 Cheng W, Zhao X, Su H, Tang F, Che W, Zhang H, Liu Q. *Nat Energy*, 2019, 4: 115–122
- 136 Wang CP, Feng Y, Sun H, Wang Y, Yin J, Yao Z, Bu XH, Zhu J. *ACS Catal*, 2021, 11: 7132–7143
- 137 Li DJ, Li QH, Gu ZG, Zhang J. *J Mater Chem A*, 2019, 7: 18519–18528
- 138 Song J, Zhao S, Liu D, Xiong Y, Hu F, Li L, Li L, Pan H, Peng S. *Chem Commun*, 2022, 58: 9662–9665
- 139 Wang ZH, Wang XF, Tan Z, Song XZ. *Mater Today Energy*, 2021, 19: 100618
- 140 Li N, Liu J, Dong BX, Lan YQ. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2020, 59: 20779–20793
- 141 Du DY, Qin JS, Li SL, Su ZM, Lan YQ. *Chem Soc Rev*, 2014, 43: 4615–4632
- 142 Mukhopadhyay S, Debgupta J, Singh C, Kar A, Das SK. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2018, 57: 1918–1923
- 143 Samaniyan M, Mirzaei M, Khajavian R, Eshtiagh-Hosseini H, Streb C. *ACS Catal*, 2019, 9: 10174–10191
- 144 Zhang S, Ou F, Ning S, Cheng P. *Inorg Chem Front*, 2021, 8: 1865–1899
- 145 Nohra B, El Moll H, Rodriguez Albelo LM, Mialane P, Marrot J, Mellot-Draznieks C, O’Keeffe M, Ngo Biboum R, Lemaire J, Keita B, Nadjio L, Dolbecq A. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2011, 133: 13363–13374
- 146 Qin JS, Du DY, Guan W, Bo XJ, Li YF, Guo LP, Su ZM, Wang YY, Lan YQ, Zhou HC. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2015, 137: 7169–7177
- 147 Wang L, Wang AN, Xue ZZ, Wang YR, Han SD, Wang GM. *Chinese Chem Lett*, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2022.04.012>
- 148 Zhang L, Li S, Gómez-García CJ, Ma H, Zhang C, Pang H, Li B. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2018, 10: 31498–31504
- 149 Abdelkader-Fernández VK, Fernandes DM, Balula SS, Cunha-Silva L, Freire C. *J Mater Chem A*, 2020, 8: 13509–13521
- 150 Wang X, Luo JY, Tian JW, Huang DD, Wu YP, Li S, Li DS. *Inorg Chem Commun*, 2018, 98: 141–144
- 151 Chen K, Ray D, Ziebel ME, Gaggioli CA, Gagliardi L, Marinescu SC. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2021, 13: 34419–34427
- 152 Ding YX, Zheng QH, Peng MT, Chen C, Zou KF, Dong BX, Liu WL, Teng YL. *Catal Commun*, 2021, 161: 106367
- 153 Chen C, Suo N, Han X, He X, Dou Z, Lin Z, Cui L. *J Alloys Compd*, 2021, 865: 158795
- 154 Kong Y, Xiong D, Lu C, Wang J, Liu T, Ying S, Ma X, Yi FY. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2022, 14: 37804–37813

Table of Contents graphic

